On the affine classification of cubic bent functions

Sergey Agievich

National Research Center for Applied Problems of Mathematics and Informatics
Belarusian State University
Fr. Skorina av. 4, 220050 Minsk, Belarus

agievich@bsu.by

Abstract

We consider cubic boolean bent functions, each cubic monomial of which contains the same variable. We investigate canonical forms of these functions under affine transformations of variables. In particular, we refine the affine classification of cubic bent functions of 8 variables.

1 Preliminaries

Let V_n be an n-dimensional vector space over the field \mathbb{F}_2 , and \mathcal{F}_n be the set of all boolean functions $V_n \to \mathbb{F}_2$. We identify a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with its algebraic normal form, that is, a polynomial of the ring $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ reduced modulo the ideal $(x_1^2 - x_1, \dots, x_n^2 - x_n)$. Denote by deg f the degree of such polynomial.

The Walsh–Hadamard transform associates with $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ the function

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_n} \chi(f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in V_n,$$

where $\chi(a) = (-1)^a$ is the additive character of \mathbb{F}_2 and $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ is the dot product of two vectors. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ the image of \mathcal{F}_n under the mapping $f \mapsto \hat{f}$.

Let \mathcal{B}_n be the set of all boolean bent functions of n variables: $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if $|\hat{f}(\mathbf{u})| = 2^{n/2}$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in V_n$. Bent functions were introduced by Rothaus in 1976 [7], and since then have been widely studied. It is clear that $\mathcal{B}_n \neq \emptyset$ only for even n. Therefore, when we write \mathcal{B}_n , we mean that n is even.

Let \mathbf{AGL}_n be the general affine group of transformations of V_n . An element $\sigma \in \mathbf{AGL}_n$ acts as follows: $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}A + \mathbf{b}$, where A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 , $\mathbf{b} \in V_n$. Extend the action of \mathbf{AGL}_n on \mathcal{F}_n in a natural way:

$$\sigma(f)(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}A + \mathbf{b}),$$

and call two functions affine equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a transformation $\sigma \in \mathbf{AGL}_n$ and addition of an affine function l (deg $l \leq 1$).

It is known that affine equivalent functions are both bent or both not bent. In this connection it would be interesting to find the number and representatives of affine equivalence classes of \mathcal{B}_n .

By Dickson's theorem (see, for example, [6]), any quadratic function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is affine equivalent to the function

$$x_1 x_2 + \ldots + x_{2m-1} x_{2m}. \tag{1}$$

The number 2m here is determined uniquely and called the rank of f (rank f). It is known that f is bent iff rank f = n and, consequently, every quadratic bent function of n variables is affine equivalent to the function (1) with m = n/2.

Unfortunately, obtaining similar classification even for cubic bent functions is a more complex problem. Today, such classification is completed only for n = 6: any cubic bent function of 6 variables is affine equivalent to one of the three functions given by Rothaus in [7].

Hou in [5] has considered cubic bent functions of 8 variables. Using the classification of cubic forms (see [2, 4]), Hou stated that any such function $f(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in V_8$, is affine equivalent to one of the following:

$$f_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 x_3 + q_1(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$f_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 x_5 + q_2(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$f_3(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 x_7 + x_3 x_4 x_7 + x_5 x_6 x_7 + q_3(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$f_4(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 x_5 + x_3 x_4 x_6 + q_4(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$f_5(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 x_5 + x_3 x_4 x_6 + x_1 x_4 x_7 + q_5(\mathbf{x}),$$

where deg $q_i = 2$. Thus, to complete the classification, it remains to refine the functions q_i . Hou refined q_1 , further we will refine q_2 and q_3 .

We will use the following observation: each cubic monomial of f_2 contains the variable x_2 and each cubic monomial of f_3 contains x_7 . In accordance with this observation, consider cubic bent functions of the form

$$f(u, v, \mathbf{x}) = ua(v, \mathbf{x}) + b(u, v, \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in V_n, \quad \deg a = 2, \quad \deg b \le 2.$$
 (2)

Let us examine the properties of such functions.

2 Results

Before proceeding, recall the notion of bent rectangles from [1]. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and m, k be positive integers such that n = m + k. Define the function

$$\int_{\mathbf{y}\in V_k} \chi(f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in V_m, \quad \mathbf{v} \in V_k,$$

and call it the *rectangle* of f. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{m,k}$ the set of all such rectangles.

For a fixed \mathbf{u} call the mapping $\mathbf{v} \mapsto f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ a column of f. Analogously, for a fixed \mathbf{v} call the mapping $\mathbf{u} \mapsto f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ a row of f. By definition, each row of f is an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_k$. If furthermore each column of f multiplied by $2^{(m-k)/2}$ is an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_m$, then the rectangle f is called bent.

In [1] we pointed out the following correspondence between bent functions and bent rectangles.

Proposition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m+k}$ is bent if and only if a rectangle $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m,k}$ is bent.

Using 2-row bent rectangles $f \in \mathcal{F}_{1,n+1}$, we can proof the following result.

Proposition 2. A cubic bent function of the form (2) is affine equivalent to the function

$$u(h(\mathbf{x}) + v) + g(\mathbf{x}),\tag{3}$$

where $h(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 + \ldots + x_{2m-1} x_{2m}$ and g is a quadratic bent function such that g + h is also bent.

Let $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_n}(h)$ be the stabilizer of h in \mathbf{AGL}_n , that is, the set of all $\sigma \in \mathbf{AGL}_n$ such that $\sigma(h) = h$. To refine (3), we have the following possibilities:

- (a) apply to g transformations of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_n}(h)$,
- (b) add h to q by replacing u with u + 1.

Proceed with the transformations (a). We need to know the canonical form to which we can reduce a quadratic bent function $g(\mathbf{x})$ by elements of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_n}(x_1x_2 + \ldots + x_{2m-1}x_{2m})$. Let us state a result in this direction. It will be convenient to rename variables and talk about a classification of quadratic bent functions $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_m$, $\mathbf{z} \in V_{2k}$, under the action of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{2(m+k)}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$.

Before stating the result, define for r = 1, 2, ... the function

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z_1, z_2) = y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_3 + \ldots + x_{r-1} y_r + x_r z_2, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_r,$$

and call it the *chain* of rank 2r + 2. For r = 0 and "empty" vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} call $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z_1, z_2) = z_1 z_2$ the chain of rank 2. Denote by

$$C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_3 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \alpha_r \end{pmatrix}$$

the companion matrix of the polynomial $p(\lambda) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda + \ldots + \alpha_r \lambda^{r-1} + \lambda^r$. The characteristic polynomial of $C = C(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ equals $p(\lambda)$ and C is invertible iff $\alpha_1 \neq 0$.

Lemma. Any quadratic bent function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_m$, $\mathbf{z} \in V_{2k}$, by a transformation of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{2(m+k)}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ and addition of an affine function can be reduced to the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i, z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}) + \mathbf{y}_{k+1} Q \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where

- (i) $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \in V_{m_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, such that $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{x}$ and $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{y}$;
- (ii) ρ_i is the chain of rank $2m_i + 2$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$;
- (iii) Q is the uniquely determined square matrix of order m_{k+1} , "empty" for $m_{k+1} = 0$ and having the form

$$Q = \operatorname{diag}(C_1, \dots, C_d)$$

for $m_{k+1} > 0$. In the last case C_i are invertible companion matrices with characteristic polynomials $p_i(\lambda)$ such that $p_1(\lambda) \mid p_2(\lambda), p_2(\lambda) \mid p_3(\lambda), \ldots, p_{d-1}(\lambda) \mid p_d(\lambda)$.

Interesting in view of Proposition 2 quadratic bent functions $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ have the additional property: $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is also bent. This property imposes the following restriction on Q: the addition of 1 to its diagonal elements keeps the matrix invertible. Hence, if $C_i = C_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ is a diagonal companion matrix of Q, then $r \geq 2$ and $\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_r = 1$.

Example. Let m + k = 3. Under the stated restrictions on the diagonal matrices of Q, the function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ by a transformation of $\mathbf{AGL}_6(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ and addition of an affine function can be reduced to one of the following forms:

m=1	m=2	m=3
$y_1z_1 + x_1z_2 + z_3z_4$	$y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + x_2 z_2$	$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + x_3(y_1 + y_2)$
	$x_1y_2 + x_2(y_1 + y_2) + z_1z_2$	$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + x_3(y_1 + y_3)$

Concentrate on the case m=3, k=0. We have two canonical functions $\mathbf{y}Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{y}\tilde{Q}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$, where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let I_r be the identity $r \times r$ matrix. The characteristic polynomial of $Q + I_3$ coincides with the characteristic polynomial of \tilde{Q} and we can choose an invertible matrix S such that

$$S^{-1}(\tilde{Q} + I_3)S = Q.$$

It means that by adding the function $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ to $\mathbf{y} \tilde{Q} \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and then applying the transformation $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto (\mathbf{x} S^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{y} S^{-1})$ of $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{6}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$, we get the function $\mathbf{y} Q \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Using the example above, we immediately obtain the following result that actually contains refinements of the functions f_1 , f_2 , f_3 from the previous section.

Proposition 3. Let $f(u, v, x_1, ..., x_6)$ be a cubic bent function of the form (2). Then f is affine equivalent to one of the following functions:

$$u(x_1x_2 + v) + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_5x_6,$$

$$u(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + v) + x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_6,$$

$$u(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + v) + x_1x_4 + x_3(x_2 + x_4) + x_5x_6,$$

$$u(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_5x_6 + v) + x_1x_4 + x_3x_6 + x_5(x_2 + x_4).$$

Now, to complete the affine classification of \mathcal{B}_8 , it remains to refine quadratic parts of the functions f_4 and f_5 . Note that every cubic monomial of these functions contains at least one of the variables x_1 , x_4 (or, for example, x_2 , x_4) and it is promising to use 4-row bent rectangles for the classification.

3 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Define the function $g \in \mathcal{F}_n$ by the rule

$$\chi(g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})) = 2^{-n/2} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in V_k, \quad \mathbf{v} \in V_m,$$

and determine the corresponding rectangle $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k.m}$:

$$g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 2^{-n/2} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_m} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \chi(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 2^{-n/2} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_m} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in V_m} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in V_k} \chi(f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 2^{-n/2} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in V_k} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in V_m} \chi(f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_m} \chi((\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

Since for $\mathbf{a} \in V_m$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_m} \chi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 2^{m-n/2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_n} \chi(f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = 2^{(m-k)/2} f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}).$$

Therefore, each column of f multiplied by $2^{(m-k)/2}$ is an element of \mathcal{F}_m and f is bent. Conversely, if f is bent then $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 2^{(m-k)/2} f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is well defined rectangle that corresponds to the function $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$, $\chi(g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})) = 2^{-n/2} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$. Hence $|\hat{f}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})| = 2^{n/2}$ for all \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} and f is bent.

Proof of Proposition 2

Let $f(u, v, \mathbf{x})$ have the form (2). Construct the rectangle $f \in \mathcal{F}_{1,n+1}$. The rows of f are results of applying the Walsh-Hadamard transform to the functions

$$f_1(v, \mathbf{x}) = b(0, v, \mathbf{x}), \quad f_2(v, \mathbf{x}) = a(v, \mathbf{x}) + b(1, v, \mathbf{x}).$$

The functions f_i are quadratic and Dickson's theorem yields

- 1) $|\hat{f}_{i}(\mathbf{w})| \in \{0, 2^{n+1-\operatorname{rank} f_{i}/2}\}, \mathbf{w} \in V_{n+1};$
- 2) the supports $E_i \subset V_{n+1}$ of f_i are flats of dimensions rank f_i .

Examining the restrictions on columns of f, we conclude that f is bent iff dim $E_{1,2} = n$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = \varnothing$.

Using an affine transformation of (v, \mathbf{x}) , we can make

$$E_1 = \{(0, \mathbf{x}) \colon \mathbf{x} \in V_n\}, \quad E_2 = \{(1, \mathbf{x}) \colon \mathbf{x} \in V_n\}.$$

It means that f is affine equivalent to the function

$$u(g_1(\mathbf{x}) + g_2(\mathbf{x}) + v) + g_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad g_i \in \mathcal{B}_n, \quad \deg g_i = 2.$$

Let rank $(g_1+g_2)=2m$. Using an affine transformation of \mathbf{x} , we can convert $g_1(\mathbf{x})+g_2(\mathbf{x})$ to the form $h(\mathbf{x})+l(\mathbf{x})$, where $h(\mathbf{x})=x_1x_2+\ldots+x_{2m-1}x_{2m}$ and l is an affine function. Now replacing now v with v+l, we obtain the function

$$u(h(\mathbf{x}) + v) + g(\mathbf{x}), \quad g, g + h \in \mathcal{B}_n, \quad \deg g = 2,$$

that is affine equivalent to f.

Proof of Lemma

We will use notations that can be easily understood by the following example: the transformation that replaces x_1 with $x_1 + x_2$, y_2 with $y_2 + y_1$ and does not change all other variables is denoted by $\{x_1 \curvearrowright x_1 + x_2, y_2 \curvearrowright y_2 + y_1\}$.

Start the proof with two auxiliary results.

Sublemma 1. Any quadratic bent function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_m$, by a transformation of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{2m}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ and addition of an affine function can be reduced to the form

$$\mathbf{y}Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad Q = \mathrm{diag}(C_1, \dots, C_d),$$

where C_i are invertible companion matrices with characteristic polynomials $p_i(\lambda)$ such that $p_1(\lambda) \mid p_2(\lambda), p_2(\lambda) \mid p_3(\lambda), \ldots, p_{d-1}(\lambda) \mid p_d(\lambda)$. The matrix Q is determined uniquely.

Proof. During the proof we will consecutively eliminate monomials $x_i x_j$ and $y_i y_j$ in g, then bring g to the form $\mathbf{y}Q\mathbf{x}^T$ and prove the uniqueness of Q.

1. Write

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = x_1(a_2x_2 + \ldots + a_mx_m + b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + \ldots + b_my_m + c) + g_1(x_2, \ldots, x_m, \mathbf{y}).$$

If some of the coefficients a_i , b_i , $i=2,\ldots,m$, are nonzero, then by renumbering the variables x_i , y_i and interchanging x_2 and y_2 if necessary, we can make $b_2=1$.

Now by the transformations

- (a) $\{x_1 \curvearrowright x_1 + b_1 x_2, y_2 \curvearrowright y_2 + b_1 y_1\},\$
- (b) $\{y_2 \land y_2 + a_2x_2 + a_2\},\$
- (c) $\{y_2 \land y_2 + a_i x_i + b_i y_i + a_i b_i, x_i \land x_i + b_i x_2, y_i \land y_i + a_i x_2\}, i = 3, \dots, m,$

and addition of x_1 if necessary, we bring g to the form

$$x_1y_2 + q_2(x_2, \ldots, x_m, \mathbf{y}).$$

Applying similar transformations to

$$g_2(x_2,\ldots,x_m,\mathbf{y}) = x_2(a_3'x_3+\ldots+a_m'x_m+b_1'y_1+\ldots+b_m'y_m+c_1')+g_3(x_3,\ldots,x_m,\mathbf{y})$$

and further, at some stage we get the function

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + x_r(\alpha_1y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_ry_r) + g_4(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, \mathbf{y}).$$

2. Denote $\mathbf{x}_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, $\mathbf{y}_1 = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ and rewrite this function as

$$\mathbf{y}_1 C \mathbf{x}_1^{\mathrm{T}} + g_4(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_m, \mathbf{y}),$$

where $C = C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a companion matrix.

The matrices C and C^{T} are similar, that is, there exists an invertible matrix S such that $S^{-1}CS = C^{\mathrm{T}}$. Using the transformation $\{\mathbf{x}_1 \curvearrowright \mathbf{y}_1 S^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{y}_1 \curvearrowright \mathbf{x}_1 S^{-1}\}$, we bring g to the form

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + x_r(\alpha_1y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_ry_r) + g_5(\mathbf{x}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_m).$$

3. If g_5 contains the monomial x_1x_2 , eliminate it by replacing y_2 with $y_2 + x_2 + 1$. Next eliminate the monomials x_1x_j , $3 \le j \le m$, by the transformations $\{y_2 \curvearrowright y_2 + x_j, y_j \curvearrowright y_j + x_2\}$ and the monomials x_1y_j , $r+1 \le j \le m$, by the transformations $\{y_2 \curvearrowright y_2 + y_j, x_j \curvearrowright x_j + x_2\}$.

In similar way we can eliminate the monomials $x_2x_3, \ldots, x_2x_m, x_2y_{r+1}, \ldots, x_2y_m, x_3x_4, \ldots, x_{r-1}x_r, x_{r-1}y_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}y_m$ and hence obtain the function

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + x_r(\alpha_1y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_my_m + \beta_{r+1}x_{r+1} + \ldots + \beta_mx_m) + g_6(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_m).$$

If $\alpha_{r+1} = \ldots = \alpha_m = \beta_{r+1} = \ldots = \beta_m = 0$, we continue to eliminate monomials $x_i x_j$, $y_i y_j$ in the function g_6 of a lesser number of variables. Otherwise, if some of the coefficients $\alpha_{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_m$ are nonzero, then return to step 1, bring g to the form

$$x_1y_2 + x_2y_3 + \ldots + x_{r'-1}y_{r'} + x_{r'}(\alpha'_1y_1 + \ldots + \alpha'_{r'}y_{r'}) + g'_4(x_{r'+1}, \ldots, x_m, \mathbf{y}), \quad r' > r,$$

and repeat steps 2, 3.

4. Using the manipulations above, we can eliminate all monomials $x_i x_j$, $y_i y_j$ and bring g to the form $\mathbf{y}Q\mathbf{x}^T$, where Q is an $m \times m$ matrix. Given an invertible matrix S of order m, we can replace (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) with $(\mathbf{x}S^T, \mathbf{y}S^{-1})$ and thus pass from Q to the similar matrix $\tilde{Q} = S^{-1}QS$. Under an appropriate choice of S, we can bring Q to the Frobenius canonical form given in the statement.

On the other hand, if g is equivalent to $\mathbf{y}\tilde{Q}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$ under the action of $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{2m}}(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y})$, then the matrices Q and \tilde{Q} are similar. Indeed, the equivalence of $\mathbf{y}Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{y}\tilde{Q}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$ means that there exists an invertible matrix A of order 2m such that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ Q^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{Q} \\ \tilde{Q}^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence invariant polynomials of the λ -matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda I_m + Q \\ \lambda I_m + Q^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda I_m + \tilde{Q} \\ \lambda I_m + \tilde{Q}^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are equal (see, for example, [3, ch. 6]). Consequently, invariant polynomials of the λ matrices $\lambda I_m + Q$ and $\lambda I_m + \tilde{Q}$ are equal too, matrices Q, \tilde{Q} are similar and have the
same Frobenius canonical form.

Sublemma 2. Any quadratic bent function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_m$, $\mathbf{z} \in V_{2k}$, k > 0, that does not contain the monomials $z_i z_j$, $1 \le i < j \le 2k$, by a transformation of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathbf{AGL}_{2(m+k)}}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ and addition of an affine function can be reduced to the form

$$y_1z_1 + x_1y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + x_rz_2 + g'(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_m, z_3, \ldots, z_{2k}).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into four steps.

1. Since g does not contain the monomials z_1z_j and has full rank, g must contain at least one monomial of the form x_iz_1 or y_iz_1 , say y_1z_1 . Write

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = (y_1 + l_1)(z_1 + l_2) + g_1(\mathbf{x}, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_2, \dots, z_{2k}),$$

where $l_1 = l_1(\mathbf{x}, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ and $l_2 = l_2(\mathbf{x}, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_2, \dots, z_{2k})$ are affine functions. Replacing z_1 with $z_1 + l_2$, then using some of the transformations

- (a) $\{y_1 \curvearrowright y_1 + x_1 + 1\},\$
- (b) $\{y_1 \curvearrowright y_1 + x_i, y_i \curvearrowright y_i + x_1\}, 2 \le i \le m,$
- (c) $\{y_1 \curvearrowright y_1 + y_i, x_i \curvearrowright x_i + x_1\}, 2 \le i \le m,$

and adding z_1 if necessary, we obtain the function

$$y_1z_1 + g_2(\mathbf{x}, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_2, \dots, z_{2k}).$$

2. If g_2 does not contain the monomials x_1z_j , then we proceed as in step 1 of the previous proof and bring g to the form

$$y_1z_1 + x_1y_2 + g_3(x_2, \dots, x_m, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_2, \dots, z_{2k}).$$

Continuing with the function g_3 and further, at some stage we obtain one of the following functions:

$$y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1} y_r + x_r (\alpha_2 y_2 + \ldots + \alpha_r y_r) + g_4(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_2, \ldots, y_m, z_2, \ldots, z_{2k}),$$

$$(4)$$

$$y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1} y_r + g_5(x_r, \ldots, x_m, y_2, \ldots, y_m, z_2, \ldots, z_{2k}),$$
 (5)

where g_5 contains a monomial of the form $x_r z_i$, say $x_r z_2$.

Consider the function (4). If we replace x_i with $x_i + \alpha_{i+1}x_r$, i = 1, ..., r-1, we eliminate all monomials that contain x_r . Therefore the function (4) is not bent and we reject it.

Rewrite (5) as

$$y_1z_1 + x_1y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + (x_r + l_1)(z_2 + l_2) + g_6(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_2, \ldots, y_m, z_3, \ldots, z_{2k}),$$

where $l_1 = l_1(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_m, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ and $l_2 = l_2(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_m, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_3, \dots, z_{2k})$ are affine functions.

Replacing z_2 with $z_2 + l_2$, then using some of the transformations

(a)
$$\{x_r \curvearrowright x_r + x_i, y_i \curvearrowright y_i + y_r\}, r + 1 \le i \le m$$
,

(b)
$$\{x_r \land x_r + y_i, x_i \land x_i + y_r\}, 2 \le i \le m, i \ne r,$$

(c)
$$\{x_r \curvearrowright x_r + y_r + 1\},\$$

and adding z_2 if necessary, we bring (5) to the form

$$y_1z_1 + x_1y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1}y_r + x_rz_2 + g_7(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_2, \ldots, y_m, z_3, \ldots, z_{2k}).$$
 (6)

3. By the transformations $\{x_{r-1} \curvearrowright x_{r-1} + x_i, y_i \curvearrowright y_i + y_{r-1}\}$ eliminate the monomials $y_r x_i$, $r+1 \le i \le m$, in (6). Next by the transformations $\{x_{r-1} \curvearrowright x_{r-1} + y_j, x_j \curvearrowright x_j + y_{r-1}\}$ eliminate the monomials $y_r y_j$, $2 \le j \le m$, $j \ne r-1$. The monomial $y_{r-1} y_r$ can be eliminated by replacing x_{r-1} with $x_{r-1} + y_{r-1} + 1$. In similar way consecutively eliminate all other monomials $y_{r-1} x_i, y_{r-1} y_j, \ldots, y_2 x_i, y_2 y_j$. Then eliminate possibly appeared monomials $y_1 x_i, y_1 y_j$ by replacing z_1 with $z_1 + x_i$ or $z_1 + y_i$.

Finally we obtain the function

$$y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + \ldots + x_{r-1} y_r + x_r z_2 + \sum_{i=2}^r \sum_{j=3}^{2k} a_{ij} y_i z_j + g'(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_m, z_3, \ldots, z_{2k}).$$
 (7)

- **4.** If some of the coefficients a_{ij} are nonzero, then we proceed as follows
- (a) interchange x_i and y_{r+1-i} , $i = 1, \ldots, r$,
- (b) interchange z_1 and z_2 ,

(c) repeat step 2 and obtain the function

$$y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 + \ldots + x_{r'-1} y_{r'} + x_{r'} z_2 + g'_7(x_{r'+1}, \ldots, x_m, y_2, \ldots, y_m, z_3, \ldots, z_{2k}), \quad r' < r,$$
 instead of (6),

(d) repeat steps 3, 4.

It is clear that after some iteration by the schema above we obtain the function of the form (7), where all the coefficients $a_{ij} = 0$.

Return to the proof of Lemma. If g contains a monomial of the form $z_i z_j$, say $z_1 z_2$, then we can write

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = (z_1 + l_1)(z_2 + l_2) + g_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z_3, \dots, z_{2k}),$$

where $l_1 = l_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z_3, \dots, z_{2k})$ and $l_2 = l_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z_3, \dots, z_{2k})$ are affine functions. Applying the transformation $\{z_1 \curvearrowright z_1 + l_1, z_2 \curvearrowright z_2 + l_2\}$, we bring g to the form

$$z_1z_2+g_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},z_3,\ldots,z_{2k}).$$

In similar way we can isolate all other monomials of the form $z_i z_j$, then using sublemmas extract chains $\rho_i(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i, z_{2i-1}, z_{2i})$ of ranks $2m_i + 2 \ge 4$ and finally fix the term $\mathbf{y}_{k+1} Q \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

It remains to proof the uniqueness of the matrix Q. Let E and R be the square matrices of order 2(m+k) such that

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})^{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i, z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}) + \mathbf{x}_{k+1}Q\mathbf{y}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

Suppose that g can be reduced to yet another function

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\rho}_i(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i, z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} \tilde{Q} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i \in V_{\tilde{m}_i},$$

that represented by a matrix \tilde{R} . Repeating the arguments of step 4 of the proof of Sublemma 1, we conclude that invariant polynomials of the λ -matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R + \lambda E \\ R^{T} + \lambda E & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{R} + \lambda E \\ \tilde{R}^{T} + \lambda E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are coincide. To the chains ρ_i , $\tilde{\rho}_i$ there correspond blocks of S, \tilde{S} such that all their invariant polynomials are equal to 1. It yields that invariant polynomials of the λ -matrices $Q + \lambda I_{m_{k+1}}$, $\tilde{Q} + \lambda I_{\tilde{m}_{k+1}}$ are equal and, consequently, $m_{k+1} = \tilde{m}_{k+1}$ and $Q = \tilde{Q}$.

References

- 1. S. V. Agievich. On the representation of bent functions by bent rectangles. In: *Probabilistic Methods in Discrete Mathematics: Proceedings of the Fifth International Petrozavodsk Conference (Petrozavodsk, June 1–6, 2000)*. Utrecht, Boston: VSP, pp. 121–135, 2002. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0502087.
- 2. A. V. Cheremushkin. Methods of the affine and linear classification of binary functions. In: *Proceedings on discrete mathematics*, vol. 4, Moscow: Fizmatlit, pp. 273–314, 2001 (In Russian).
- 3. F. R. Gantmacher. The theory of matrices. NY: Chelsea Publishing Co., 1960.
- 4. X. Hou. GL(m, 2) acting on R(r, m)/R(r 1, m). Discrete Math., vol. 149, pp. 99–122, 1996.
- 5. X. Hou. Cubic bent functions. Discrete Math., vol. 89, pp. 149–161, 1998.
- F. J. MacWilliams, N. J. A. Sloane. Theory of error correction codes. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1977.
- 7. O. S. Rothaus. *On "bent" functions*. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 20, pp. 300–305, 1979.