

# Somos Sequence Near-Addition Formulas and Modular Theta Functions

R. Wm. Gosper <sup>\*</sup>                      Rich Schroepfel <sup>†</sup>

March 15, 2007

## Abstract

We have discovered conjectural near-addition formulas for Somos sequences. We have preliminary evidence suggesting the existence of modular theta functions.

## 1 Introduction

In 2001, as part of a research project investigating more efficient public key cryptography (PKC), Rich Schroepfel asked Bill Gosper to look for Somos sequence addition formulas. Gosper found some very interesting results immediately, and further developments continued through 2003. Cheryl Beaver and Schroepfel also investigated modular versions of the dilogarithm function  $\text{Li}_2(x)$  and the Trilogarithm  $\text{Li}_3(x)$  [6], and Schroepfel did some preliminary work on modular theta functions.

Somos sequences and theta functions are both promising approaches for use in public key cryptography. Cryptographic applications of Somos sequences are explored in [1]. Our dilogarithm and trilogarithm results are interesting, but it's not obvious how to apply them to PKC problems.

Further development of modular versions of the special functions of numerical analysis seems possible. Likely candidates are the error function, the logarithmic integral, the gamma function, and perhaps Bessel functions and hypergeometric functions.

The main stumbling block is that inequalities, limiting processes, and infinite series are unavailable, and we must fall back on functional equations for much of the work. Formal differentiation sometimes works. Functional equations are very limited for the error function, but more variety is available for the other special functions. We have not explored the p-adic possibilities, which might permit the reintroduction of some of the forbidden concepts.

Both Somos sequences and theta functions have near-addition formulas: equations that relate  $f(x+y)f(x-y)$  to  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  and  $f$  of nearby  $x$  and  $y$  values. These can be used with the well-known double-and-add method to calculate function values at large multiples of the argument.

Gosper's results on near-addition formulas for Somos sequences are reported in section 2. Schroepfel has been able to prove a few of the formulas, including two of the determinant identities for Somos4 [1]. Section 3 details our brief excursion into modular theta functions. There is no conclusion: this research seems open ended.

---

<sup>\*</sup>rwg@osots.com

<sup>†</sup>rschroe@sandia.gov Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

## 1.1 Somos Sequence Background

RS first learned of Somos sequences from Michael Somos, around 1988. The Somos sequence of order  $N$  begins with a block of  $N$  1s, and is generated from a simple non-linear recurrence. For Somos4, the recurrence is  $a_k a_{k+4} = a_{k+1} a_{k+3} + a_{k+2}^2$ ; for Somos5, it is  $a_k a_{k+5} = a_{k+1} a_{k+4} + a_{k+2} a_{k+3}$ ; Somos6 and 7 follow the patterns for 4 and 5, with more terms in the folded dot product. The recurrence can also be used to extend the sequences in the negative direction; they are palindromic. Somos4 begins 1,1,1,1,2,... . One surprising property is that all the terms in Somos4 - Somos7 are integers. This was discovered back in the 1940s by Morgan Ward [9, 10] for a sequence including Somos4. He called his sequences Elliptic Divisibility Sequences; Somos4 is the odd numbered terms from a particular EDS. RS was at an MSRI number theory workshop shortly after learning of the sequences, and the group spent some time trying to prove integrality. Eventually Dean Hickerson and Janice Malouf independently proved that Somos6 is integral. Our experimenting showed that we could modify the sequence initial values in various ways while apparently keeping the integrality property. Also, introducing algebraic coefficients into the equation, such as  $a_k a_{k+4} = x a_{k+1} a_{k+3} + y a_{k+2}^2$ , often produced polynomials with integer coefficients, rather than the expected ensemble of rational functions. (Of course, this doesn't matter much when the values are interpreted mod  $P$ , or in a finite field, which can handle fractions just fine.) The raw integer values of the sequences seem to grow roughly as  $C^{K^2}$ . The Somos4 and Somos5 sequences have a close connection with elliptic curves and classical theta functions. The higher order Somos sequences may be connected to hyperelliptic curves. There's a moderate amount of background material scattered around the net; Jim Propp's Somos page [7], and Sloane's sequence database [8], and Zagier's problem 5 [12], have useful material. Background on theta functions is available in the Abramowitz & Stegun [2] compendium of special functions, now available on the net (as a scanned photocopy) at [3].

## 2 Somos Sequence Near-Addition Formulae

**Summary:** Somos( $nx$ ) is calculable in  $O(\log n)$  time from three values near Somos( $x$ ), at least for orders 4 and 5. Orders 6 and 7 require longer intervals of values. Along the way, we find addition formulæ for Somos and Somos-like sequences of polynomials and algebraics, and reduce some fifth order recurrences to fourth and third order. We find three-term, four-variable relations for most of these, as well as for ordinary  $\vartheta$  functions. A sequence of polynomials obeying the Somos4 recurrence has a particularly nice doubling formula. Many of these results fall out of a very general determinant identity. For certain algebraic "Somos" sequences, we find closed forms in terms of Chebychev polynomials.

**Definitions:**  $a_n := \text{Somos4}$ ,  $b_n := \text{Somos5}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_n := \text{Somos8}$ , *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &:= \frac{a_{n-1}a_{n-3} + a_{n-2}^2}{a_{n-4}} &= a_{3-n} &= \dots, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 7, 23, 59, 314, 1529, \dots \\ b_n &:= \frac{b_{n-1}b_{n-4} + b_{n-2}b_{n-3}}{b_{n-5}} &= b_{4-n} &= \dots, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 37, 83, 274, \dots \\ c_n &:= \frac{c_{n-1}c_{n-5} + c_{n-2}c_{n-4} + c_{n-3}^2}{c_{n-6}} &= c_{5-n} &= \dots, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 9, 23, 75, 421, \dots \\ d_n &:= \frac{d_{n-1}d_{n-6} + d_{n-2}d_{n-5} + d_{n-3}d_{n-4}}{d_{n-7}} &= d_{6-n} &= \dots, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 9, 17, 41, 137, \dots \\ e_n &:= \dots \end{aligned}$$

(all appropriately palindromic) where the tabulated values start with subscript  $n = -1$  to show the center of

symmetry. They are integer sequences until

**Somos8:**  $e_{17} = \frac{420514}{7}$ , so we're not too interested in Somos8. On the other hand, Somos6 satisfies

$$c_n = \frac{-c_{n-1}c_{n-8} - c_{n-2}c_{n-7} + c_{n-3}c_{n-6} + 34c_{n-4}c_{n-5}}{c_{n-9}},$$

which is pretty much a Somos9. And, for all  $t$  and  $u$ , Somos4 satisfies

$$a_n = \frac{(t-7)a_{n-1}a_{n-7} + (u-5t+31)a_{n-2}a_{n-6} + (4t-u+1)a_{n-3}a_{n-5} - ua_{n-4}^2}{a_{n-8}},$$

a double continuum of quasi-Somos8s.

Furthermore, for all  $t$ , the sequence  $s_n := a_n^2$  satisfies

$$s_n = \frac{(6-t)s_{n-1}s_{n-7} + (5t-130)s_{n-2}s_{n-6} + (749-4t)s_{n-3}s_{n-5} + (20t-4)s_{n-4}^2}{s_{n-8}}.$$

**Change of variable:** A Somos sequence may be multiplied by any constant. A Somos sequence multiplied by an arbitrary geometric progression satisfies the same recurrence, but usually loses its palindrome symmetry. The “odd” (Somos5 and Somos7) sequences may also be termwise multiplied by any number of factors of the form  $\tan(x + n\pi/2)$  without even disturbing the palindrome property.

There is, however, no sharp dichotomy between odd and even, since Somos4 satisfies the quasiSomos5 (Quasi-modulo?) (odd) recurrence

$$a_n = \frac{5a_{n-3}a_{n-2} - a_{n-4}a_{n-1}}{a_{n-5}},$$

as does  $a_n \tan(x + \pi n/2)$ , etc.

The sequence  $s_n := r^{n^2} a_n$  satisfies

$$s_n = \frac{r^6 s_{n-1}s_{n-3} + r^8 s_{n-2}^2}{s_{n-4}},$$

while  $s_n := r^{n^2} b_n$  satisfies

$$s_n = \frac{r^8 s_{n-1}s_{n-4} + r^{12} s_{n-2}s_{n-3}}{s_{n-5}}.$$

Similarly,  $s_n := r^{n^2} c_n$  satisfies

$$s_n = \frac{r^{10} s_{n-1}s_{n-5} + r^{16} s_{n-2}s_{n-4} + r^{18} s_{n-3}^2}{s_{n-6}},$$

while  $s_n := r^{n^2} d_n$  satisfies

$$s_n = \frac{r^{12} s_{n-1}s_{n-6} + r^{20} s_{n-2}s_{n-5} + r^{24} s_{n-3}s_{n-4}}{s_{n-7}}.$$

**Notation:**

$$D_s \begin{pmatrix} x_1, & x_2, & \dots, & x_n \\ y_1, & y_2, & \dots, & y_n \end{pmatrix} : = \det [s_{x_i - y_j} s_{x_i + y_j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} s_{x_1-y_1} s_{x_1+y_1} & s_{x_1-y_2} s_{x_1+y_2} & \dots & s_{x_1-y_n} s_{x_1+y_n} \\ s_{x_2-y_1} s_{x_2+y_1} & s_{x_2-y_2} s_{x_2+y_2} & \dots & s_{x_2-y_n} s_{x_2+y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_{x_n-y_1} s_{x_n+y_1} & s_{x_n-y_2} s_{x_n+y_2} & \dots & s_{x_n-y_n} s_{x_n+y_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that each term of the expanded determinant will have subscripts summing to  $2x_1 + 2x_2 + \dots + 2x_n$ . This is decidedly not symmetrical in  $x$  and  $y$ , so that an identity involving a  $D$  operator may yield a new identity under interchange of the  $x$  and  $y$  vectors.

**Conjecture 4:** the determinant

$$D_a \begin{pmatrix} u, & v, & w \\ x, & y, & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{u-x} a_{u+x} & a_{u-y} a_{u+y} & a_{u-z} a_{u+z} \\ a_{v-x} a_{v+x} & a_{v-y} a_{v+y} & a_{v-z} a_{v+z} \\ a_{w-x} a_{w+x} & a_{w-y} a_{w+y} & a_{w-z} a_{w+z} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $u, v, w, x, y$ , and  $z$  are arbitrary integers. *E.g.*,

$$D_a \begin{pmatrix} n-2, & 0, & 1 \\ 0, & 1, & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n-2}^2 & a_{n-3} a_{n-1} & a_{n-4} a_n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -a_{n-4} a_n + a_{n-3} a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}^2,$$

the defining recurrence for Somos4.

Note that the determinant also vanishes for  $a_t := \sin t$ , for arbitrary complex  $u, v, w, x, y$ , and  $z$ . More interestingly, experimental Taylor expansion at  $q = 0$  plus several numerical experiments suggest that the same goes for  $a_t := \vartheta_j(t, q)$ . The published addition formulæ mixing two or more different  $j$  are merely the result of choosing  $v, w, y$ , and  $z$  to be things like  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi\tau/2$  (and 0). (Whittaker & Watson, crediting Jacobi, list numerous special cases, suggesting that the more general formula was not yet known.)

Still more generally,

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} \vartheta_s(x-u, q) \vartheta_t(x+u, q) & \vartheta_s(y-u, q) \vartheta_t(y+u, q) & \vartheta_s(z-u, q) \vartheta_t(z+u, q) \\ \vartheta_s(x-v, q) \vartheta_t(x+v, q) & \vartheta_s(y-v, q) \vartheta_t(y+v, q) & \vartheta_s(z-v, q) \vartheta_t(z+v, q) \\ \vartheta_s(x-w, q) \vartheta_t(x+w, q) & \vartheta_s(y-w, q) \vartheta_t(y+w, q) & \vartheta_s(z-w, q) \vartheta_t(z+w, q) \end{vmatrix}.$$

*E.g.*, putting  $s = 1, u = x, v = y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \vartheta_1(x-w, q) \vartheta_t(x+w, q) \vartheta_1(z-y, q) \vartheta_t(z+y, q) \\ &\quad - \vartheta_1(y-w, q) \vartheta_t(y+w, q) \vartheta_1(z-x, q) \vartheta_t(z+x, q) \\ &\quad + \vartheta_1(y-x, q) \vartheta_t(y+x, q) \vartheta_1(z-w, q) \vartheta_t(z+w, q), \end{aligned} \tag{4vars}$$

a three term identity in four variables.

**Conjecture 4.5:** The determinant

$$D_s \begin{pmatrix} u+1/2, & v+1/2, & w+1/2 \\ x-1/2, & y-1/2, & z-1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} s_{1+u-x} s_{u+x} & s_{1+u-y} s_{u+y} & s_{1+u-z} s_{u+z} \\ s_{1+v-x} s_{v+x} & s_{1+v-y} s_{v+y} & s_{1+v-z} s_{v+z} \\ s_{1+w-x} s_{w+x} & s_{1+w-y} s_{w+y} & s_{1+w-z} s_{w+z} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $s_n := a_n$  or  $b_n$ , and  $u, v, w, x, y$ , and  $z$  are arbitrary integers. *E.g.*,

$$0 = D_b \begin{pmatrix} n - \frac{5}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{3}{2}, & \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} b_{n-3} b_{n-2} & b_{n-4} b_{n-1} & b_{n-5} b_n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -b_{n-5} b_n + b_{n-4} b_{n-1} + b_{n-3} b_{n-2},$$

the defining recurrence for Somos5.

**Somos4 addition formulæ:** (See the section “Somos4oid polynomials” for a sequence  $s_n$  with much nicer addition formulæ than those derived here for  $a_n$ .)

Suppose we have four consecutive values  $a_{x-1}, a_x, a_{x+1}, a_{x+2}$ . Choose  $s := a, u = x, y = 0, z = -1, v = 0, w = 1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \begin{pmatrix} x+1, & 0, & 1 \\ x-1, & 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= D_a \begin{pmatrix} x+1/2, & 1/2, & 3/2 \\ x-1/2, & 1/2, & -3/2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} a_1 a_{2x} & a_x a_{x+1} & a_{x-1} a_{x+2} \\ a_{1-x} a_x & a_0 a_1 & a_{-1} a_2 \\ a_{2-x} a_{x+1} & a_1 a_2 & a_0 a_3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{2x} & a_x a_{x+1} & a_{x-1} a_{x+2} \\ a_x a_{x+2} & 1 & 2 \\ a_{x+1}^2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

giving us  $a_{2x}$ . Alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \begin{pmatrix} x+1/2, & 1/2, & 3/2 \\ x-3/2, & 3/2, & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} a_2 a_{2x-1} & a_{x-1} a_{x+2} & a_x a_{x+1} \\ a_{2-x} a_{x-1} & a_{-1} a_2 & a_0 a_1 \\ a_{3-x} a_x & a_0 a_3 & a_1 a_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{2x-1} & a_{x-1} a_{x+2} & a_x a_{x+1} \\ a_{x-1} a_{x+1} & 2 & 1 \\ a_x^2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

giving us  $a_{2x-1}$ .

Now run the Somos4 recurrence one step forward to get  $a_{x+3}$  and replace  $x$  by  $x+1$  in the preceding two determinants to get the four consecutive values  $a_{2x-1}, a_{2x}, a_{2x+1}, a_{2x+2}$ . So we can double  $a_{nx}$  to  $a_{2nx}$ .

Now suppose that we have the four values around  $a_{nx}$  and also around  $a_{(n+1)x}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \begin{pmatrix} nx+1, & 0, & 1 \\ (n+1)x-1, & 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= D_a \begin{pmatrix} (n+1)x+1/2, & 1/2, & 3/2 \\ nx-1/2, & -3/2, & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{x+1} a_{(2n+1)x} & a_{(n+1)x-1} a_{(n+1)x+2} & a_{(n+1)x} a_{(n+1)x+1} \\ a_{nx} a_{1-nx} & a_{-1} a_2 & a_0 a_1 \\ a_{2-nx} a_{nx+1} & a_0 a_3 & a_1 a_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{x+1} a_{(2n+1)x} & a_{(n+1)x-1} a_{(n+1)x+2} & a_{(n+1)x} a_{(n+1)x+1} \\ a_{nx} a_{nx+2} & 2 & 1 \\ a_{nx+1}^2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So from  $a_{nx}$  and  $a_{(n+1)x}$  we get  $a_{2nx}$  and  $a_{(2n+1)x}$ . Thus we can multiply by maintaining eight values. *E.g.*,

$$105x \leftarrow (53x, 52x) \leftarrow (27x, 26x) \leftarrow (14x, 13x) \leftarrow (7x, 6x) \leftarrow (4x, 3x) \leftarrow (2x, x).$$

In principle, we need only maintain two sets of three values,  $a_{nx-1}, a_{nx}, a_{nx+1}$ , and  $a_{(n+1)x-1}, a_{(n+1)x}, a_{(n+1)x+1}$ , by virtue of the third order relation

**Conjecture 4a** (“derived” below):

$$a_{x-1}^2 a_{x+2}^2 + a_x^3 a_{x+2} + a_{x-1} a_{x+1}^3 + a_x^2 a_{x+1}^2 = 4 a_{x-1} a_x a_{x+1} a_{x+2},$$

with which we can eliminate  $a_{x+2}$  from:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{2x-1} & a_{x-1} a_{x+2} & a_x a_{x+1} \\ a_{x-1} a_{x+1} & 2 & 1 \\ a_x^2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = a_{2x-1} - a_{x-1} (a_{x-1} a_{x+1} - a_x^2) a_{x+2} + a_x a_{x+1} (a_{x-1} a_{x+1} - 2 a_x^2)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x-1} a_{2x-1}^2 - a_x (2 a_{x-1}^2 a_{x+1}^2 - a_{x-1} a_x^2 a_{x+1} + a_x^4) a_{2x-1} \\ + a_{x+1} (a_{x-1}^4 a_{x+1}^4 - 4 a_{x-1}^3 a_x^2 a_{x+1}^3 + 8 a_{x-1}^2 a_x^4 a_{x+1}^2 - 6 a_{x-1} a_x^6 a_{x+1} + 2 a_x^8) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x-1}^3 a_{2x}^2 + a_x (2 a_{x-1} a_{x+1} - a_x^2) (a_{x-1}^2 a_{x+1}^2 - 5 a_{x-1} a_x^2 a_{x+1} + a_x^4) a_{2x} \\ + a_{x+1}^3 (a_{x-1}^4 a_{x+1}^4 - 8 a_{x-1}^3 a_x^2 a_{x+1}^3 + 20 a_{x-1}^2 a_x^4 a_{x+1}^2 - 14 a_{x-1} a_x^6 a_{x+1} + 3 a_x^8) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x-1}^5 a_{2x+1}^2 - a_x (8 a_{x-1}^4 a_{x+1}^4 - 18 a_{x-1}^3 a_x^2 a_{x+1}^3 + 22 a_{x-1}^2 a_x^4 a_{x+1}^2 - 9 a_{x-1} a_x^6 a_{x+1} + a_x^8) a_{2x+1} \\ + a_{x+1}^5 (4 a_{x-1}^4 a_{x+1}^4 - 12 a_{x-1}^3 a_x^2 a_{x+1}^3 + 20 a_{x-1}^2 a_x^4 a_{x+1}^2 - 16 a_{x-1} a_x^6 a_{x+1} + 7 a_x^8) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The square roots plus the size of these expressions probably render them “too cumbersome to be of any importance,” but the even coefficients may pay off in some finite fields.

“Derivation” of Conjecture 4a: By Conjecture 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \begin{pmatrix} k/2 - 3/2, & k/2 - 1/2, & k/2 + 1/2 \\ k/2 - 1/2, & k/2 + 1/2, & k/2 + 3/2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{-1} a_{k-2} & a_{-2} a_{k-1} & a_{-3} a_k \\ a_0 a_{k-1} & a_{-1} a_k & a_{-2} a_{k+1} \\ a_1 a_k & a_0 a_{k+1} & a_{-1} a_{k+2} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \\ &= 2 \left( (4 a_{k-2} a_k - 3 a_{k-1}^2) a_{k+2} - 3 a_{k-2} a_{k+1}^2 + 8 a_{k-1} a_k a_{k+1} - 7 a_k^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \begin{pmatrix} k/2 - 1/2, & k/2 + 1/2, & k/2 + 3/2 \\ k/2 - 3/2, & k/2 - 1/2, & k/2 + 1/2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} a_1 a_{k-2} & a_0 a_{k-1} & a_{-1} a_k \\ a_2 a_{k-1} & a_1 a_k & a_0 a_{k+1} \\ a_3 a_k & a_2 a_{k+1} & a_1 a_{k+2} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \\ &= (a_{k-2} a_k - a_{k-1}^2) a_{k+2} - a_{k-2} a_{k+1}^2 + 3 a_{k-1} a_k a_{k+1} - 2 a_k^3. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating  $a_{k-2}$ ,

$$2 a_k \left( a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+2}^2 - 4 a_{k-1} a_k a_{k+1} a_{k+2} + a_k^3 a_{k+2} + a_{k-1}^3 a_{k+1} + a_k^2 a_{k+1}^2 \right) = 0,$$

as desired.

**Nonstandard initialization:** You might wonder how this third order recurrence can compute a fourth order recurrence with four initial conditions ( $a_0, \dots, a_3 = 1$ ). First of all, given the palindrome condition and scaling, there is only one degree of freedom. *I.e.*, in general, we have

$$a_{-1}, a_0, \dots = p^2 + p, 1, p, p, 1, p^2 + p, p^2 + p + \frac{1}{p}, p^3 + 2p^2 + 2p + \frac{1}{p^2} + 1, \dots \quad (1pp1)$$

When  $p$  is a root of unity, the denominators remain bounded and can be scaled out, *e.g.*,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dots, i-1, 1, i, i, 1, i-1, -1, i-2, 2-3i, -1, 13i+3, -16i-15, -19i-44, \dots \\ & \dots, i(\sqrt{2}+1)+1, \sqrt{2}, i+1, i+1, \sqrt{2}, i(\sqrt{2}+1)+1, i\sqrt{2}+2, i(\sqrt{2}+3)+\sqrt{2}+1, \\ & i(3\sqrt{2}+7)-\sqrt{2}-3, i(5\sqrt{2}+12)+4\sqrt{2}+2, i(15\sqrt{2}+13)-22\sqrt{2}-31, i(8\sqrt{2}+8)-43\sqrt{2}-76, \\ & i(34\sqrt{2}+57)-190\sqrt{2}-287, \dots \\ & \dots, 2i\sqrt{3}, 2, i\sqrt{3}+1, i\sqrt{3}+1, 2, 2i\sqrt{3}, i\sqrt{3}+1, 3i\sqrt{3}-1, \\ & -10, 6i\sqrt{3}-8, -21i\sqrt{3}-9, 35-9i\sqrt{3}, 136-66i\sqrt{3}, \dots \\ & \dots, i(\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2}+2)+(\sqrt{2}+1)\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}, 4, i(\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2})+\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}, i(\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2})+\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}, 4, \\ & i(\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2}+2)+(\sqrt{2}+1)\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}, 2i+(\sqrt{2}+2)\sqrt{6}+2\sqrt{2}, \\ & i(2\sqrt{6}+2)+(3\sqrt{2}+2)\sqrt{6}+4\sqrt{2}+4, i((4\sqrt{2}+3)\sqrt{6}+11\sqrt{2}+6)+(5\sqrt{2}+5)\sqrt{6}+15\sqrt{2}+20, \\ & i((5\sqrt{2}+6)\sqrt{6}+6\sqrt{2}+10)+(15\sqrt{2}+18)\sqrt{6}+38\sqrt{2}+46, \\ & i((46\sqrt{2}+67)\sqrt{6}+107\sqrt{2}+156)+(54\sqrt{2}+89)\sqrt{6}+131\sqrt{2}+212, \\ & i((210\sqrt{2}+311)\sqrt{6}+523\sqrt{2}+772)+(250\sqrt{2}+341)\sqrt{6}+635\sqrt{2}+860, \\ & i((963\sqrt{2}+1410)\sqrt{6}+2346\sqrt{2}+3434)+(1383\sqrt{2}+1934)\sqrt{6}+3394\sqrt{2}+4754, \dots \\ & \dots, i(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}-\sqrt{2})+(i(\sqrt{5}-1)+2)\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}+\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{2}, 4\sqrt{2}, \\ & 2\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}+i\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{5}-1), 2\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}+i\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{5}-1), 4\sqrt{2}, \\ & i(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}-\sqrt{2})+(i(\sqrt{5}-1)+2)\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}+\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{2}, \\ & (i(\sqrt{5}-1)+4)\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}+\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{2}, \\ & i(3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}-\sqrt{2})+\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(\sqrt{5}+i(\sqrt{5}-1)+3)+3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+7\sqrt{2}, \\ & \sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(i(3\sqrt{5}+5)+6\sqrt{5}+2)+i(8\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+8\sqrt{2})+9\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+13\sqrt{2}, \\ & \sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(i(5\sqrt{5}+5)+12\sqrt{5}+20)+12i\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+20\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+46\sqrt{2}, \\ & \sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(i(37\sqrt{5}+95)+47\sqrt{5}+65)+i(67\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+187\sqrt{2})+81\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+137\sqrt{2}, \\ & \sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(i(168\sqrt{5}+434)+162\sqrt{5}+322)+i(332\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+796\sqrt{2})+285\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+655\sqrt{2}, \\ & \sqrt{\sqrt{5}+5}(i(753\sqrt{5}+1957)+883\sqrt{5}+1865)+i(1499\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+3579\sqrt{2})+1644\sqrt{2}\sqrt{5}+3634\sqrt{2}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

However, for other  $p$  on the unit circle, it is impossible to scale out denominators, even with a geometric progression. *E.g.*,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dots, \frac{39i}{5} + \frac{27}{5}, 5, 3i + 4, 3i + 4, 5, \frac{39i}{5} + \frac{27}{5}, \frac{24i}{5} + \frac{47}{5}, \\ & \quad \frac{387i}{25} + \frac{386}{25}, \frac{36783i}{625} + \frac{3494}{625}, \\ & \frac{2088i}{25} + \frac{48643}{625}, \frac{32642451i}{78125} - \frac{12616857}{78125}, \frac{2038374144i}{1953125} - \frac{1297298183}{1953125}, \\ & \quad \frac{9109927539i}{1953125} - \frac{22447118648}{9765625}, \dots \\ & \dots, \frac{185i}{13} + \frac{275}{13}, 13, 5i + 12, 5i + 12, 13, \frac{185i}{13} + \frac{275}{13}, \frac{120i}{13} + \frac{431}{13}, \\ & \quad \frac{5285i}{169} + \frac{11722}{169}, \frac{4966665i}{28561} + \frac{4473518}{28561}, \\ & \frac{468840i}{2197} + \frac{14119307}{28561}, \frac{138123747005i}{62748517} + \frac{79921772175}{62748517}, \frac{97867476702880i}{10604499373} + \frac{46503584483049}{10604499373}, \\ & \quad \frac{438606726214525i}{10604499373} + \frac{3668262036619888}{137858491849}, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

wherein the powers of  $1/5$  and  $1/13$  grow quadratically.

Interestingly, (1pp1) permits definition of sequences containing 0. *E.g.*,  $p := -1$  gives a period 10 sequence with values in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Alternatively, if  $p^3 + p^2 + 1 = p$ , the sequence is period 5. The appropriate generalization of Conjecture 4a is

$$a_{k-1} a_k a_{k+1} a_{k+2} (p^4 + 2p^3 + 1) = (a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+2}^2 + a_k^3 a_{k+2} + a_{k-1} a_{k+1}^3 + a_k^2 a_{k+1}^2) p^2.$$

There appears to be a generalization of this relation for initializations in violation of the palindrome property.

Perhaps the most important of these is Sloane's A051138

$$A_{-1}, A_0, \dots = -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -5, -4, 29, 129, -65, \dots$$

where

$$A_n = -A_{-n} = \frac{A_{n-1}A_{n-3} + A_{n-2}^2}{A_{n-4}} = \frac{A_{n-1}a_{n+1} - A_{n-2}a_{n+2}}{a_n} = \frac{A_{n-1}a_{k-1}a_{k-n} - A_{n-2}a_k a_{k-n-1}}{a_{k-2}a_{k-n+1}}.$$

We can think of  $A_n$  as sinh and  $a_n$  as cosh, but actually they're both theta functions. Also,  $a_n$  is centered at  $n = 3/2$  instead of 0.

Solving this last equation for  $a_k$  generalizes the Somos4 defining recurrence:

$$a_k = \frac{A_{n+1}a_{k-1}a_{k-n-2} - A_{n+2}a_{k-2}a_{k-n-1}}{A_n a_{k-n-3}}.$$

Another such generalization is the “ $k$ -tuple speedup”:

$$A_k^2 a_n a_{n+4k} = A_{2k}^2 a_{n+k} a_{n+3k} - A_k A_{3k} a_{n+2k}^2.$$



Generalizing both of these is the three-variable relation

$$a_n = \frac{A_{2j} A_{k+j} a_{n-j} a_{n-k-2j} - A_j A_{k+2j} a_{n-2j} a_{n-k-j}}{A_j A_k a_{n-k-3j}}.$$

These expressions mixing  $A$  and  $a$  are somewhat striking because up until now, all the monomials in a given relation have had the same subscript sum, modulo  $A_n = -A_{-n}$  and  $a_n = a_{3-n}$ . In particular, these nonconforming identities can not come directly from  $D_s$  type determinant identities, except via the artifice of multiplying the deficient monomials by  $A_k$  and the overweight monomials by  $-A_{-k}$ .

$A$  can be eliminated from the speedup identity via the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_{3k}}{A_k} &= \frac{a_p a_{p+k+1} a_{p+3k+1} a_{p+4k} - a_{p+1} a_{p+k} a_{p+3k} a_{p+4k+1}}{a_{p+k} a_{p+2k+1}^2 a_{p+3k} - a_{p+k+1} a_{p+2k}^2 a_{p+3k+1}}, \\ \frac{A_{2k}^2}{A_k^2} &= \frac{a_m a_{m+2k+1}^2 a_{m+4k} - a_{m+1} a_{m+2k}^2 a_{m+4k+1}}{a_{m+k} a_{m+2k+1}^2 a_{m+3k} - a_{m+k+1} a_{m+2k}^2 a_{m+3k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary  $m$  and  $p$ . Also,

$$A_k^2 = a_k a_{k+3} - a_{k+1} a_{k+2}.$$

If we eliminate  $A$  between this and the  $k$ -tuple speedup identity, we get a polynomial in  $a$  with subscript sums which can be brought into agreement via selective application of  $a_n = a_{3-n}$ .

Also,  $A_n = s_{2n}$ , where  $s_n$  = Sloane's A006769:

$$s_{-1}, s_0, \dots = -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, 1, 2, -1, -3, -5, 7, -4, -23, 29, \dots,$$

and

$$s_n = -s_{-n} = \frac{s_{n-1} s_{n-3} + s_{n-2}^2}{s_{n-4}},$$

the same recurrence as  $A_n$ . Perhaps surprisingly,

$$s_{2n+1} = (-1)^n a_{n+2}.$$

That  $A_{1/2}, A_{3/2}, \dots$  can be integers suggests that  $a_{1/2}, a_{3/2}, \dots$  could be, too. Substituting half-integers into the  $\vartheta$  expression below yields nonintegers, but it is likely that there are alternative analytic expressions for  $a_n$  which disagree for nonintegers.

Curiously,  $A_{2n}$  does not obey the Somos4 recurrence.

Note that  $a$  is even easier than  $A$  to eliminate from the mixed recurrences, since they hold for  $a = A!$  *I.e.*,

$$A_j A_k A_n A_{n-k-3j} = A_{2j} A_{k+j} A_{n-j} A_{n-k-2j} - A_j A_{k+2j} A_{n-2j} A_{n-k-j}.$$

With the relation  $A_{-n} = -A_n$  along with linear changes of variable, this can be rewritten

$$A_{-j} A_{j-k} A_{j-n} A_{n+k+j} + A_{2j} A_{-k} A_{-n} A_{n+k} = A_{-j} A_{k+j} A_{-n-k+j} A_{n+j},$$

so that each term's subscript sum is  $2j$ . We might thus expect an equivalent 3 by 3 determinant *a la* Conjecture 4. The most general case gives a six variable relation with 24 terms of degree 6. The only apparent way to reduce to degree four is to specialize two of the variables to create terms of absolute value 1, *i.e.*  $A_{\pm 1}, A_{\pm 2}$ , or  $A_{\pm 3}$ .

But this will introduce small integer offsets among the remaining subscripts, a feature notably absent from our trivariate relation. So for  $A_n$ , at least, determinants may not tell the whole story.

Likewise for  $\vartheta_1$ : the trivariate relation empirically holds if we replace  $A_n$  by  $\vartheta_1(n, q)$ , for arbitrary complex  $n$  and any fixed  $q$  within the unit circle. That it fails for the other  $\vartheta$ s suggests the existence of a four or more variable generalization. Indeed, by analogy with (4vars),

$$A_{k-i} a_{k+i} A_{j-n} a_{n+j} = A_{j-i} a_{j+i} A_{k-n} a_{n+k} + A_{k-j} a_{k+j} A_{i-n} a_{n+i},$$

also holding with  $A$  in place of  $a$ . So maybe (4vars) type determinants *do* tell the whole story.

If  $s_n = -s_{-n}$ ,  $s_1 = 1$ , (as with  $s_n := A_n$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} D_s \begin{pmatrix} y, & 0, & 1 \\ n, & 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} -s_{n-y} s_{y+n} & s_y^2 & s_{y-1} s_{y+1} \\ -s_n^2 & 0 & -1 \\ -s_{n-1} s_{n+1} & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -s_{n-y} s_{n+y} - s_n^2 s_{y-1} s_{y+1} + s_{n-1} s_{n+1} s_y^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{EDS})$$

is equivalent to  $s$  being an elliptic divisibility sequence. Integer divisibility sequences merely require  $d|n \Rightarrow s_d | s_n$ , but the divisibility sequences discussed in this report appear to satisfy the stronger relation  $(s_x, s_y) = |s_{(x,y)}|$ , even when they disobey the addition formula. This may be what is meant by “strong divisibility sequence”.

The EDS upside is this nice addition formula.

Fomin and Zelevinsky have shown that Somos4, ..., Somos7 are Laurent polynomials (rational functions with monomial denominators) in their initial values.

**Somos4oid polynomials:** We can get true polynomials from the “odd” ( $s_{-n} = -s_n$ ) sequences with the initialization  $-1, 0, 1, 1, -1, x$ , where  $x$  is unconstrained by the Somos4 recurrence, which gives  $0/0$ . At greater length,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n = & -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, x, x+1, x^2 - x - 1, -x^3 - x - 1, -3x^2 - 2x, x^5 - x^4 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1, \\ & -x^6 - 2x^4 - 5x^3 + 3x + 1, -x^7 + 2x^6 - 3x^5 - 9x^4 - 5x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

$A_n$  is the case  $x = -5$  and  $A_{n/2}$  is the case  $x = 1$ . I. e.,  $s_n(-5) = s_{2n}(1)$ . Empirically, this is a strong (redundant?) elliptic polynomial(!) division sequence for all  $x$ . If indeed the divisibility property holds for both integers and polynomials, then the values assumed by the polynomials  $s_k(x)/s_{(k,n)}(x)$  and  $s_n(x)/s_{(k,n)}(x)$  are relatively prime for every integer  $x$ .

It seems that the Chebychev polynomials  $U_{n-1}(y) := \sin(n \arccos y) / \sin(\arccos y)$  behave similarly. E.g., for integers  $k$  and  $n$ ,  $\sin(kn \arccos y) / \sin(n \arccos y)$  is a polynomial in  $y$ , but of degree only  $(k-1)n$ .

Here are the polynomial factorizations of  $s_n(x)$  through  $n = 18$ .

$n$	$s_n$
-1	-1
0	0
1	1
2	1
3	-1
4	$x$
5	$x + 1$
6	$x^2 - x - 1$
7	$-(x^3 + x + 1)$
8	$-x(3x + 2)$
9	$x^5 - x^4 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1$
10	$-(x + 1)(x^5 - x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 - 2x - 1)$
11	$-(x^7 - 2x^6 + 3x^5 + 9x^4 + 5x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1)$
12	$-x(x^2 - x - 1)(x^6 + 2x^4 + 5x^3 + 9x^2 + 9x + 3)$
13	$x^{10} + x^9 + 13x^7 + 12x^6 - 6x^5 + 16x^3 + 15x^2 + 6x + 1$
14	$-(x^3 + x + 1)(x^9 - 3x^8 + x^7 + 6x^6 - 13x^5 - 30x^4 - 15x^3 + 4x^2 + 5x + 1)$
15	$-(x + 1)(x^{13} - 2x^{12} + 5x^{11} - 2x^{10} + 5x^9 + 8x^8$ $- 19x^7 + 12x^6 + 63x^5 + 50x^4 + 20x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 1)$
16	$x(3x + 2)(2x^{12} - 3x^{11} + 6x^{10} + 14x^9 - 2x^8$ $+ 3x^7 + 23x^6 + 18x^5 - 6x^4 - 27x^3 - 27x^2 - 12x - 2)$
17	$x^{18} - 3x^{17} + 4x^{16} + 6x^{15} - 9x^{14} + 5x^{13} + 56x^{12} + 69x^{11} + 105x^{10} + 311x^9$ $+ 429x^8 + 211x^7 - 2x^6 + 45x^5 + 135x^4 + 110x^3 + 45x^2 + 10x + 1$
18	$(x^2 - x - 1)(x^5 - x^4 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1)(x^{13} + x^{12} + 7x^{11} + 19x^{10} + 25x^9$ $+ 78x^8 + 133x^7 + 108x^6 + 79x^5 + 65x^4 + 24x^3 - 6x^2 - 6x - 1)$

The degrees of the polynomials, starting with  $n = 1$ , go

$$0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 14, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 30, 33, 34, 39, 42, 45, 49, 52, 56, 60, 62, 68, 72, 76, 81, \dots,$$

which is eight interlaced quadratic progressions:

$$\deg s_{8q+r} = (4q + r)q + [-2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3]_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 7,$$

which can be written

$$\deg s_n = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \left( \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \left( \sin \frac{n\pi}{4} \right) - \left( \cos \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \left( \frac{3}{8} + \cos \frac{n\pi}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{32} (2n^2 - 5(-1)^n - 5).$$

It appears that  $n$  prime  $\Rightarrow s_n$  irreducible. The polynomials appear to be monic except for  $s_{8n}$ , whose leading coefficients appear to be  $(-1)^n 3n$ .

It appears that all the polynomials  $s_n(x)$  have a root close to  $x = \omega \approx -0.669499628215$ ,  $\omega^4 + 3\omega^3 - 5\omega^2 + 21\omega + 17 = 0$ , with proximity rapidly increasing with  $n$ .

Besides the EDS condition, we retain the  $\vartheta_1$  three-variable identity

$$s_{2j} s_k s_n s_{n+k} = s_j s_{k-j} s_{n-j} s_{n+k+j} + s_j s_{k+j} s_{n+j} s_{n+k-j}$$

This can be subscript-balanced as

$$s_{2j} s_{k+j} s_{-n-k-j} s_n = s_{-j} s_{-k} s_{j-n} s_{n+k+2j} - s_{-j} s_{k+2j} s_{-n-k} s_{n+j},$$

but its asymmetry and failure to subsume the EDS condition suggest that we're missing a nice, *four*-variable relation. Sure enough, by analogy with (4vars),

$$s_{k-i} s_{k+i} s_{j-n} s_{n+j} = s_{j-i} s_{j+i} s_{k-n} s_{n+k} + s_{k-j} s_{k+j} s_{i-n} s_{n+i}$$

withstands empirical testing.

This identity specializes to a particularly attractive doubling formula:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2n-1} &= s_{n-1}^3 s_{n+1} - s_{n-2} s_n^3 \\ s_{2n} &= (s_{n-1}^2 s_{n+2} - s_{n-2} s_{n+1}^2) s_n. \end{aligned}$$

Given the four consecutive values  $s_{n-2}, \dots, s_{n+1}$ , extend them to  $s_{n+3}$  stepping the recurrence twice. Then use the doubling formula to get the four values  $s_{2n-1}, \dots, s_{2n+2}$ . Etc.

We also have

$$s_{b-a} s_{b+a} = s_a^2 s_{b-1} s_{b+1} - s_{a-1} s_{a+1} s_b^2.$$

And we have the ntuple speedup relation

$$s_k^2 s_n s_{n+4k} = s_{2k}^2 s_{n+k} s_{n+3k} - s_k s_{3k} s_{n+2k}^2.$$

This provides an alternative doubling process: Given four values  $s_k, s_{2k}, s_{3k}, s_{4k}$ , start  $n$  at  $k$  and generate  $s_{5k}, s_{6k}, s_{7k}, s_{8k}$ . Discard the odd multiples, and we have doubled  $k$  and are free to iterate.

For  $x = 0$ ,  $s_n$  has period 8:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{4q+r}(0) &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, 0, 1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, \dots \\ &= [0, 1, (-1)^q, -1]_r, & 0 \leq r \leq 3, \\ &= bi^{-n^2/4} \vartheta_1(n\pi/4, Q), & n = 4q + r, \quad b = .2653512762412i + .4652895036579, \\ & & Q = .7359196601139i + .3006597280279. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, a much simpler expression is

$$s_n(0) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right).$$

For  $x = -1$  the period is 5:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{5q+r}(-1) &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, \dots \\ &= [0, 1, 1, -1, -1]_r, & 0 \leq r \leq 4, \\ &= \frac{b}{\sqrt[4]{Q}} \vartheta_1(2n\pi/5, Q) & n = 5q + r, \quad b = 0.6155370356317, \quad Q = .4856907848670i. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x = -2/3$ , we get eight interlaced progressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{8q+r}(-2/3) &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -2/3, 1/3, 1/3^2, -1/3^3, 0, 1/3^5, -1/3^6, -1/3^7, 2/3^9, \dots \\
&= \frac{[0, 3^{3q+1}, (-)^q 3^{2q+1}, -3^{q+1}, (-)^{q+1} 2, 3^{1-q}, (-)^q 3^{-2q-1}, -3^{1-3q}]_r}{3^{(2q+1)^2}}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 7, \\
&= bu^{n^2} \vartheta_1(ny, Q), \quad n = 8q + r, \quad b = -2.010659335767i, \quad u = 0.8509811643954i, \\
&\quad y = \pi/2 - .7416161288587i, \quad Q = .0026507066057.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $y$  is not  $\pi/8$  nor even real, so where do the periodic 0s come from? And  $|u|$  is not  $3^{-1/16}$ , in fact, even its square root is too small. So where does the  $3^{-n^2/16}$  “growth” rate come from? The answer, as usual, is clear after Jacobi’s imaginary transformation:

$$s_n(-2/3) = \frac{2e^{9i\pi n^2/16} \vartheta_1\left(\frac{\pi n}{8}\right)}{\vartheta_2 3^{n^2/16}},$$

with  $q$  satisfying

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2^i}) (1 + q^{4^{i-2}}) = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt[4]{3}},$$

*e.g.*,

$$q \approx .5913080374704560258502159338438 + .4423170132359810537349781037012i.$$

We thus answer both questions, and reduce four mysterious parameters to one. Or rather, two, since

$$q \approx .7241830710727415040344246937315i + .5068861260317593704061905537186$$

also satisfies the infinite product constraint, but produces the mysterious sequence

$$\dots, -\sqrt{3}i, 0, \sqrt{3}i, 1, -\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{27}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{243}, -\frac{1}{729}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{6561}, \dots!$$

For  $x =$  the golden ratio, we get six interlaced progressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{6q+r}(\phi) &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, \phi, \phi^2, 0, -\phi^4, -\phi^5, \phi^6, -\phi^8, -\phi^{10}, 0, \dots \\
&= (-)^q \phi^{6\binom{q+1}{2}} [0, \phi^{-2q}, \phi^{-q}, -1, \phi^{q+1}, \phi^{2q+2}]_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 5.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise for the conjugate:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{6q+r}(-1/\phi) &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -1/\phi, \phi^{-2}, 0, -\phi^{-4}, \phi^{-5}, \phi^{-6}, -\phi^{-8}, -\phi^{-10}, 0, \dots \\
&= \frac{[0, \phi^{2q}, (-\phi)^q, -1, (-\phi)^{-q-1}, \phi^{-2q-2}]_r}{(-)^q \phi^{6\binom{q+1}{2}}}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 5, \\
&= bu^{n^2} \vartheta_1(ny, Q), \quad n = 6q + r, \quad b = -1.403592671340i, \quad u = 0.8476983265649i, \\
&\quad y = \pi/2 - .7507768082213i, \quad Q = .0110573396552.
\end{aligned}$$

This  $\vartheta$  expression is close to  $s_n(-2/3)$  because  $-1/\phi = -.618$  is close to  $-2/3$ .

It is probable that  $s_n(\alpha)$  comes out in  $k$  such interlaced progressions when  $s_k(\alpha) = 0$ . *E.g.*, when  $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ , we appear to get seven interlaced progressions scaled by  $\alpha^{(k+1)(k+1/7)}$ .

An algebraic  $x$  for which  $s_n(x)$  has an elementary closed form is  $x = -w^3 - 2w^2$ , where  $w^4 - w = 1$ , *i.e.*  $x^4 + 3x^3 - 5x^2 + 21x + 17 = 0$ ,  $w = -(3x^3 - 26x^2 - 89x + 158)/283$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n &= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, x, x+1, x^2 - x - 1, -x^3 - x - 1, -x(3x+2), 17x^3 - 38x^2 + 70x + 69, \\
&\quad 79x^3 - 126x^2 + 288x + 273, -526x^3 + 925x^2 - 1838x - 1803, \dots \\
&= -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -w^3 - 2w^2, -w^3 - 2w^2 + 1, 2w^3 + 7w^2 + 8w + 3, 22w^3 + 18w^2 + 25w + 17, \\
&\quad -w^3 - 11w^2 - 24w - 12, -465w^3 - 602w^2 - 729w - 389, \\
&\quad -2073w^3 - 2470w^2 - 2983w - 1653, 13809w^3 + 16717w^2 + 20550w + 11365, \dots \\
&= \frac{\sin\left(n \arccos \frac{w^{-3/2}}{2}\right) w^{\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{w^{-3}}{4}}} = U_{n-1}\left(\frac{w^{-3/2}}{2}\right) w^{\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $U_n$  is the Chebychev polynomial, second kind. The degeneration of the  $\vartheta$  corresponds to the vanishing of  $q$ . Note that one of the roots  $x \approx -0.669499628215$ , which is numerically close to  $-2/3$ , which at least explains the unusually small value of  $q$  in the otherwise puzzling  $\vartheta$  expressions for  $s_n(-2/3)$  and  $s_n(-1/\phi)$ .

This  $\vartheta$ -free expression for  $s_n(-w^3 - 2w^2)$  affords elementary expansions of  $b, u, y$ , and  $q$  about  $\epsilon = 0$  in

$$s_n(\epsilon - w^3 - 2w^2) = \frac{b}{2\sqrt[3]{q}} u^{n^2} \vartheta_1(ny, \sqrt{q}) + O(\epsilon^3),$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= \frac{1 + \frac{37\epsilon}{757w^3 + 450w^2 + 87w - 661} + \frac{858967\epsilon^2}{3241613w^3 + 34180558w^2 + 150075980w + 30712993} + \dots}{\sqrt{w - \frac{1}{4w^2}}} \\
&= \frac{1 - \frac{37\epsilon}{31x^3 + 86x^2 + 192x + 875} - \frac{858967\epsilon^2}{807948x^3 - 16234660x^2 - 29959955x + 48768711} + \dots}{\sqrt{w - \frac{1}{4w^2}}}, \\
u &= \sqrt{w} \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{16w^3 + 32w^2 + 2} - \frac{2556371\epsilon^2}{1728448w^3 + 518695360w^2 + 706843904w + 458996344} + \dots \right) \\
&= \sqrt{w} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{16x - 2} - \frac{2556371\epsilon^2}{7072000x^3 + 110455488x^2 - 39784960x + 144470392} + \dots \right), \\
y &= \arccos \left( \frac{1 - \frac{13\epsilon}{308w^3 + 200w^2 + 400w + 258} - yy + \dots}{2w^{3/2}} \right) \\
&= \arccos \left( \frac{1 + \frac{13\epsilon}{16x^3 - 28x + 30} + \frac{290035289\epsilon^2}{1143740480x^3 - 236851392x^2 + 7982806560x + 9574893592} + \dots}{2w^{3/2}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
yy &= \frac{290035289 \epsilon^2}{32238208032 w^3 + 35449717760 w^2 + 30488323136 w + 11959840616}, \\
q &= \frac{\epsilon \left( 1 - \frac{4339 \epsilon}{1733 w^3 - 55408 w^2 + 2992 w + 36867} + qq + \dots \right)}{234 - 77 w + 91 w^2 - 170 w^3} \\
&= \frac{\epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{4339 \epsilon}{1696 x^3 + 4926 x^2 - 28957 x - 26043} - qqq + \dots \right)}{13 x^3 + 31 x^2 - 72 x + 344}, \\
qq &= \frac{477927637 \epsilon^2}{15857700151 w^3 - 1431606991 w^2 - 2016123508 w + 7704208617}, \\
qqq &= \frac{477927637 \epsilon^2}{915645540 x^3 + 3113407751 x^2 - 257448438 x - 3676219901}.
\end{aligned}$$

What is it with  $283 = 566/2 = \sqrt{80089} = \sqrt[3]{22665187}$ ? Answer:  $-283 = \text{discriminant}(w^4 - w - 1) = \sqrt[3]{\text{discriminant}(x^4 + 3x^3 - 5x^2 + 21x + 17)}$ .

Using the negative root  $w \approx -0.72449195900052$ , these expansions through  $\epsilon^4$  go

$$\begin{aligned}
b &\approx -0.9125730603509 i (1 - 0.04769878803144 \epsilon - 0.01401078082448 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad - 0.0050002222638 \epsilon^3 - 7.44689129426338 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon^4 + \dots), \\
u &\approx 0.85117093406702 i (1 - 0.07866586437604 \epsilon - 0.01169998061242 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad - 0.00163136901344 \epsilon^3 + 1.1997262491263 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon^4 + \dots), \\
y &\approx \arccos(0.81081103497608 i (1 + 0.29582732047992 \epsilon + 0.07670823278512 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad + 0.02128110984092 \epsilon^3 + 0.00440366306328 \epsilon^4 + \dots)), \\
q &\approx 0.00248633800734 \epsilon (1 - 0.87527986918762 \epsilon + 0.20054769983458 \epsilon^2 + 0.0025905870597 \epsilon^3 + \dots),
\end{aligned}$$

suggesting a fairly commodious radius of convergence.

The positive root  $w = 1.22074408460576$ ,  $s_n(\epsilon - w^3 - 2w^2) = -1, 0, 1, 1, -1, \epsilon - 4.7996, \dots$ , has expansions

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= .97451669219348 (1 + .02478371881502 \epsilon + .00317253690858 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad + 4.97976424690216 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon^3 + 8.74003233413136 \cdot 10^{-5} \epsilon^4 + \dots), \\
u &= 1.10487288165008 (1 - .01269137385174 \epsilon - .00121848904668 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad - 1.63711992744874 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon^3 - 2.5512354068948 \cdot 10^{-5} \epsilon^4 + \dots), \\
y &= \arccos(.37070894172584 (1 - .00810147538142 \epsilon - .0018053537704 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad - 3.84723167907628 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon^3 - 8.0356533846957 \cdot 10^{-5} \epsilon^4 + \dots)), \\
q &= -.02972037154846 \epsilon (1 + .1115487179065 \epsilon + .01495508864096 \epsilon^2 \\
&\quad + .00221611060456 \epsilon^3 + \dots).
\end{aligned}$$

Another interesting Somos4 (apparently (strong) polynomial (non-E)DS) is

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0, s_1, \dots &= 0, 1, i, 1, x, i(x - i), -i(x^2 + ix + 1), -i(x^3 - x + i), -ix(3x - 2i), i \\
&\quad (x^5 + ix^4 + 3ix^2 + 3x - i), i(x - i)(x^5 + ix^4 - 3x^3 + 2ix^2 - 2x + i),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \left( x^7 + 2ix^6 - 3x^5 + 9ix^4 + 5x^3 - 3ix^2 - 3x + i \right), \\ & -x \left( x^2 + ix + 1 \right) \left( x^6 - 2x^4 + 5ix^3 + 9x^2 - 9ix - 3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us Gaussian integers, among other things. As with the previous Somos4 polynomial sequence, there is likely a value of  $x$  for which the  $\vartheta_1$  degenerates to a Chebychev, and consequently another set of elementary expansions of the  $\vartheta$  parameters about this  $x$ . But foo, these polynomials are essentially identical to those generated by the  $-1, 0, 1, 1, -1, x, x+1, \dots$  sequence.

**Corollary 4:** the determinant

$$D_a \begin{pmatrix} s, & t, & u, & v \\ w, & x, & y, & z \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $s, t, u, v, w, x, y$ , and  $z$  are arbitrary integers. Proof: Dodgson's rule, provided the central 2 by 2 doesn't vanish.

**Expression as  $\vartheta$ :** Email from Noam Elkies to sci.math suggests the relation

$$a_n = b u^{(n-3/2)^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{k^2} z^{k(n-3/2)} = b u^{(n-3/2)^2} \vartheta_3 \left( i \left( n - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\log z}{2}, q \right).$$

Using  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$  to numerically approximate  $b, u, q$ , and  $z$ ,

$$\{b = 1.01943271913292, u = 0.63853138366726, z = 0.05462469648874, q = 0.02157360406362\}.$$

These constants do not appear to be in Plouffe's collection. Plugging in  $-3, -2, \dots, 10, 11$  for  $n$  gives

$$\{6.999999999999998, 3.0, 2.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 6.999999999999998, 23.0, 58.999999999999998, 313.99999999999998, 1528.9999999999998, 8208.999999999994\},$$

in good agreement. Due to the pleasantly small value of  $q$ , we even get 1123424582770.98 for  $a_{18} = 1123424582771$ . In fact, the only terms affecting this double precision result were  $-9 \leq n \leq -2$ , making the series a competitive alternative numerical method.

With Jacobi's imaginary transformation, we get an even nicer, entirely real expression:

$$a_n = b u^{(n-3/2)^2} \vartheta_4 \left( \left( n - \frac{3}{2} \right) y, q \right)$$

with

$$y \approx 1.9511889024071, \quad q \approx .07632928490026,$$

$$b = \frac{\vartheta_4 \left( \frac{3y}{2}, q \right)^{1/8}}{\vartheta_4 \left( \frac{y}{2}, q \right)^{9/8}} \approx .92252487906093, \quad u = \sqrt{\frac{\vartheta_4 \left( \frac{y}{2}, q \right)}{\vartheta_4 \left( \frac{3y}{2}, q \right)}} \approx 1.10763024250632.$$

$A_n$  not surprisingly comes out as a  $\vartheta_1$ , also with a fairly small  $q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= -2 b u^{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(2k+1)^2} \sin((2k+1) n y) \\ &= -b u^{n^2} \vartheta_1(n y, q^4), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y = 1.9511889024071, \quad q = 0.52562110924304, \quad b = .92252487906093, \quad u = -1.10763024250632.$$



Note that this  $q$  is raised to the fourth power in the  $\vartheta_1$ , so that, modulo an alternating sign, these parameters are identical to those in the  $\vartheta_4$  formula for  $a_n$ . I found these parameters enormously tough to compute (prior to Jacobi-transforming the  $a_n$  expression), which may explain their absence from Elkies's email. Then again I flunked numerical analysis. Of course, now that we have it, the Chebychev expansion is also valid at  $w = 1.22074408460576, x = w^3 - 2w^2 = -4.79960475359606$ , which is close enough to  $-5$  to provide an excellent first approximation. To a different solution, however! (Negated  $b$  and  $u$ ,  $\pi$ -complement of  $y$ .)

Testing the non-Chebychev expression:

$$0 = 0.0d0, 1 = 1.0d0, 1 = 1.0d0, -1 = -1.0d0, -5 = -4.99999999999998d0, -4 = -3.99999999999998d0, 29 = 28.99999999999998d0, 129 = 128.99999999999998d0, -65 = -65.00000000000002d0, -3689 = -3688.999999999996d0 .$$

Recalling that  $A_n = s_n(-5) = s_{2n}(1)$ , where  $s_n(x)$  is the EDS polynomial sequence satisfying Somos4, we sought a  $\vartheta_1$  expression for  $s_n(1)$  to see if  $s_{2n}(1)$  gives the same expression as the  $\vartheta_1$  for  $A_n$ . In fact, we found (with much difficulty) ten  $\vartheta_1$  expressions that agree with  $s_n(1)$  for integer  $n$ . For noninteger or nonreal  $n$ , symmetry suggests that there are as many as sixteen different functions. (And not one of them coincides, for  $n \leftarrow 2n$ , with our  $A_n$  expression.) The sixteen seem to divide into two classes of eight. Within each class, their values at  $n = 1/2, 3/2, \dots$  agree modulo conjugation and multiplication by some integer power of  $i$ .

However, there appear to be *more* than sixteen  $(y, q)$  pairs producing  $s_n(1)$ . *I.e.*, there are multiple ways to express  $s_n(1)$  as  $b u^{n^2} \vartheta_1(ny, q)$  that agree even for complex  $n$ ! In particular,

$$b = -\frac{\vartheta_1(2y, q)^3}{\vartheta_1(y, q)^3 \vartheta_1(3y, q)}, \quad u = -\frac{\vartheta_1(y, q)^2 \vartheta_1(3y, q)}{\vartheta_1(2y, q)^3},$$

and

$$s_n(1) = -\frac{\vartheta_1(2y, q)^3 \vartheta_1(ny, q)}{\vartheta_1(y, q)^3 \vartheta_1(3y, q)} \left( -\frac{\vartheta_1(y, q)^2 \vartheta_1(3y, q)}{\vartheta_1(2y, q)^3} \right)^{n^2}$$

seems to be exactly the same function of complex  $n$  for

$$y \approx 0.49235539271999 - 0.74875275029651 i, \quad q \approx 0.69018582634555 i + 0.54229640598463$$

as for

$$y \approx 1.11453161008963 i + 0.62943384983216, \quad q \approx 0.63418111840451 i - 0.43035475675355.$$

(These are not mutual Jacobi transformations.)

Over a period of several hours, an automated grid search *cum* Newton's method turned up the following approximate  $(y, q)$  pairs for  $s_n(1)$ :

$y$	$q$
1.2482046102601 $i$ + 0.04657952537373	0.2041895179564 $i$ + 0.06533908137423
0.78322226431624 $i$ + 0.30719109513916	0.45270853094805 $i$ + 0.20573877584467
0.49235539271999 - 0.74875275029651 $i$	0.69018582634555 $i$ + 0.54229640598463
1.0429573809123 $i$ + 1.37265463822724	0.73581195373709 $i$ + 0.23968436737044
1.00790006282379 $i$ + 0.75028009770783	0.73581195373709 $i$ - 0.23968436737042
1.11453161008963 $i$ + 0.62943384983216	0.63418111840451 $i$ - 0.43035475675355
0.2917595098002 $i$ + 1.21452080661459	0.2041895179564 $i$ + 0.06533908137423
0.4615909499519 $i$ + 1.463034339711	0.86308985589011 $i$ + 0.319843560314
2.01129861135631 $i$ + 1.12816860769464	0.45270853094804 $i$ + 0.20573877584469
0.23882852142275 $i$ + 1.76522302148841	0.73581195373708 $i$ + 0.23968436737043 .

The last three are quite unlike the first seven for noninteger  $n$ . For integer  $n$ ,  $s_n(1)$  is real and the conjugates of all these work as well. Note the equality of the first and last  $q$  in the first group. This would seem to be an instance of translation by a quasiperiod, via

$$\vartheta_1(y + i n \log q, q) = \frac{(-1)^n e^{2 i n y} \vartheta_1(y, q)}{q^{n^2}}$$

for some integer  $n$ . But there are three problems with this. First, following a tradition that still puzzles me, we have made no provision for a geometric ( $r^n$ ) factor in our  $\vartheta$  formulæ, even though the recurrence relation is unaffected by such a factor. (Ah, but the EDS relation *is* affected.) Second, if we go ahead and solve for  $n$ ,

$$n = 0.75842109957414 i.$$

Not an integer, but pure imaginary, for some reason. Third, if, for some integer  $n$ , one of these turned up in our search, why wouldn't we find dozens more engendered by other values of  $n$ ?

Also compare the fourth and fifth  $q$  of the first group with the last  $q$  of the second group. This offers hope for some simple relation between the corresponding  $y$ .

The grid search also turned up three spurious pairs,

$y$	$q$
$0.16973145507896 i + 0.59439464562658$	$0.7091459745365 i + 0.51937050102005$
$0.15670471616132 i + 0.57764641771107$	$0.61450698230835 i - 0.63841374520714$
$0.13574561978509 i + 3.27166894673346$	$0.39191084430236 i + 0.91218127829481,$

which generate the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= -r_{-n} = \frac{144 r_{n-3} r_{n-1} + 432 r_{n-2}^2}{r_{n-4}} \\ &= \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2^2 3, -2^4 3^3, 2^7 3^6, 2^{12} 3^{10}, -2^{16} 3^{15}, -2^{23} 3^{20}, -2^{29} 3^{26} 5, 2^{37} 3^{33} 7, 2^{46} 3^{41}, -2^{55} 3^{50} 13, \\ &\quad 2^{68} 3^{61}, 2^{77} 3^{70} 31, 2^{90} 3^{81} 29, -2^{103} 3^{93} 181, -2^{117} 3^{106} 5 53, 2^{133} 3^{120} 11 17, -2^{148} 3^{135} 7 107, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

whose significance thus far eludes me, although it appears to be a (weak) EDS.

**Somos5** differs from **Somos4** in two respects:  $b_n = b_{4-n}$  instead of  $a_n = a_{3-n}$ , and a different order-reducing relation from Conjecture 4a.

Substituting into Conjecture 4.5  $s_n = b_n, u = x, y = 0, z = -1, v = 1, w = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_b \begin{pmatrix} x + 1/2, & 3/2, & 1/2 \\ x - 1/2, & -1/2, & -3/2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} b_1 b_{2x} & b_x b_{x+1} & b_{x-1} b_{x+2} \\ b_{2-x} b_{x+1} & b_1 b_2 & b_0 b_3 \\ b_{1-x} b_x & b_0 b_1 & b_{-1} b_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} b_{2x} & b_x b_{x+1} & b_{x-1} b_{x+2} \\ b_{x+1} b_{x+2} & 1 & 1 \\ b_x b_{x+3} & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us  $b_{2x}$  in terms of five values near  $b_x$ . Alternatively, put  $x-1$  for  $x$ , then  $u = x, y = 2, z = 0, v = 0, w = 1$  to get

$$D_b \begin{pmatrix} x + 1/2, & 1/2, & 3/2 \\ x - 3/2, & 3/2, & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} b_2 b_{2x-1} & b_{x-1} b_{x+2} & b_x b_{x+1} \\ b_{2-x} b_{x-1} & b_{-1} b_2 & b_0 b_1 \\ b_{3-x} b_x & b_0 b_3 & b_1 b_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} b_{2x-1} & b_{x-1} b_{x+2} & b_x b_{x+1} \\ b_{x-1} b_{x+2} & 2 & 1 \\ b_x b_{x+1} & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

This gives us  $b_{2x-1}$  in terms of four consecutive  $b$  values. However, we can reduce these to *three*!

$$D_b \begin{pmatrix} k-1/2, & k+1/2, & k+3/2 \\ k-1/2, & k+1/2, & k-3/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} b_{2k-1} & 2b_{2k} & b_{2k-2} \\ b_{2k} & b_{2k+1} & b_{2k-1} \\ b_{2k+1} & b_{2k+2} & b_{2k} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$b_{2k+2} = -\frac{b_{2k-2} b_{2k+1}^2 - 3b_{2k} b_{2k-1} b_{2k+1} + 2b_{2k}^3}{b_{2k-1}^2 - b_{2k} b_{2k-2}},$$

a fourth order recurrence. Alternatively,

$$D_b \begin{pmatrix} k-3/2, & k-1/2, & k+1/2 \\ k-1/2, & k+1/2, & k+3/2 \end{pmatrix} = \\ -2 \left( (3b_{2k-1}^2 - 4b_{2k} b_{2k-2}) b_{2k+2} + 3b_{2k-2} b_{2k+1}^2 - 7b_{2k} b_{2k-1} b_{2k+1} + 5b_{2k}^3 \right)$$

or

$$b_{2k+2} = -\frac{3b_{2k-2} b_{2k+1}^2 - 7b_{2k} b_{2k-1} b_{2k+1} + 5b_{2k}^3}{3b_{2k-1}^2 - 4b_{2k} b_{2k-2}},$$

a *different* fourth order recurrence. Subtracting,

$$b_{2k-2}^2 b_{2k+1}^2 + (2b_{2k-1}^3 - 5b_{2k} b_{2k-2} b_{2k-1}) b_{2k+1} - b_{2k}^2 b_{2k-1}^2 + 3b_{2k}^3 b_{2k-2},$$

a third order recurrence for  $b_{2k+1}$  in terms of the three previous terms. (Assuming you know which sign to take on the square root). But what about  $b_{2k}$ ? Simply replace  $k$  by  $3-k$  and  $b_x$  by  $b_{4-x}$ , and we have  $b_{2k}$  in terms of  $b_{2k-1}$ ,  $b_{2k-2}$ , and  $b_{2k-3}$ :

$$2b_{2k-3} b_{2k-1}^3 - b_{2k-2}^2 b_{2k-1}^2 - 5b_{2k} b_{2k-3} b_{2k-2} b_{2k-1} + 3b_{2k} b_{2k-2}^3 + b_{2k}^2 b_{2k-3}^2 = 0$$

But this sensitivity mod 2 entails four residue classes when we order-reduce the duplication formulæ.:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= b_{2x+2}^2 b_{4x-1}^2 + 6b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+2}^3 b_{4x-1} - 21b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^2 b_{4x-1} + 16b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2} b_{4x-1} \\ &\quad - 4b_{2x+1}^6 b_{4x-1} + 9b_{2x}^6 b_{2x+2}^4 - 36b_{2x}^5 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^3 + 57b_{2x}^4 b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2}^2 - 36b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+1}^6 b_{2x+2} \\ &\quad + 8b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^8 \\ &= -3b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+2}^2 + 4b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2} - 2b_{2x+1}^4 + b_{4x} \\ &= b_{2x}^2 b_{4x+1}^2 + 6b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+2}^3 b_{4x+1} - 21b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^2 b_{4x+1} + 16b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2} b_{4x+1} \\ &\quad - 4b_{2x+1}^6 b_{4x+1} + 9b_{2x}^4 b_{2x+2}^6 - 36b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^5 + 57b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2}^4 - 36b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^6 b_{2x+2}^3 \\ &\quad + 8b_{2x+1}^8 b_{2x+2}^2 \\ &= b_{2x}^4 b_{4x+2}^2 - 6b_{2x}^4 b_{2x+2}^4 b_{4x+2} + 32b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^3 b_{4x+2} - 62b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2}^2 b_{4x+2} \\ &\quad + 40b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^6 b_{2x+2} b_{4x+2} - 8b_{2x+1}^8 b_{4x+2} + 9b_{2x}^4 b_{2x+2}^8 - 48b_{2x}^3 b_{2x+1}^2 b_{2x+2}^7 \\ &\quad + 86b_{2x}^2 b_{2x+1}^4 b_{2x+2}^6 - 56b_{2x} b_{2x+1}^6 b_{2x+2}^5 + 12b_{2x+1}^8 b_{2x+2}^4. \end{aligned}$$

We can obviate the first or last of these with (respectively) the odd or even version of the third order recurrence. This takes care of doubling.

As with Somos4, we assume three or four values near  $b_{nx}$  and another tuple near  $b_{(n+1)x}$ . Then

$$D_b \begin{pmatrix} (n+1)x + 1/2, & 1/2, & 3/2 \\ nx - 1/2, & -3/2, & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} b_{x+1} b_{(2n+1)x} & b_{(n+1)x-1} b_{(n+1)x+2} & b_{(n+1)x} b_{(n+1)x+1} \\ b_{nx} b_{nx+3} & 2 & 1 \\ b_{nx+1} b_{nx+2} & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

gives  $b_{(2n+1)x}$ . Similar constructions provide the adjacent values, and in principle, we can use the third order relations to make everything work on triples.

Note, however, that we could avoid the square roots and mod 4 intricacies by maintaining four values instead of three, with the help of the fourth order relations that we subtracted to get the third order one.

(Brief flame: a nearly forgotten fact of hardware design is that a binary square root instruction via the “schoolboy algorithm” is actually simpler than the divide instruction. In the early 1960s, the Packard-Bell 250, as feeble a machine as you could imagine, whose active registers were magnetostrictive delay lines instead of flip-flop words, and whose divide instruction needed a software followup correction, nevertheless had a hardware square root (with remainder) that worked perfectly, in the same time as an uncorrected divide.)

But which sign of the square root do we take? Not obvious! *E.g.*, suppose we try to use the third order Somos4 relation to compute  $a_x$  from the three previous values:

$$a_x = s_x \frac{\sqrt{-4a_{x-3}^3 a_{x-1}^3 + 12a_{x-3}^2 a_{x-2}^2 a_{x-1}^2 - 8a_{x-3} a_{x-2}^4 a_{x-1} + a_{x-2}^6}}{2a_{x-3}^2} + \frac{2a_{x-2} a_{x-1}}{a_{x-3}} - \frac{a_{x-2}^3}{2a_{x-3}^2}.$$

Then for  $2 \leq x \leq 38$ , the sign  $s_x$  coincides with

$$\text{sgn}(\phi(x-1) - \text{rnd}(\phi(x-1))),$$

where  $\phi$  is the golden ratio, and  $\text{rnd}(x) := \lfloor x + 1/2 \rfloor$ , the “round” function. But for  $x = 39$ , this fails!

In practice this isn’t really a problem, since we can simply choose whichever produces an integer value for  $a_x$ , and we can usually check this modulo something small. But there may be another solution.

To take a simpler example, from the third order relation for  $b_n$ , we notice that

$$\sqrt{b_{2n+1} b_{2n+3} - b_{2n+2}^2} = 1, 0, 1, 1, 8, 57, 455, 22352, 47767, 69739671, \dots$$

may be an elliptic divisibility sequence. Trying various sign patterns, we eventually find the recurrence

$$h_n = -\frac{8h_{n-4}h_{n-1} + 57h_{n-3}h_{n-2}}{h_{n-5}} = 1, 0, -1, 1, 8, 57, -455, 22352, 47767, 69739671, 3385862936, \dots$$

But this disobeys the EDS formula. Searching further,

$$g_n = \frac{57g_{n-3}g_{n-2} - 8g_{n-4}g_{n-1}}{g_{n-5}} = -1, 0, 1, -1, -8, 57, 455, -22352, -47767, 69739671, -3385862936, \dots$$

appears to satisfy the EDS addition formula. Evidently,  $g_n = -h_n$  except when  $n \equiv (0 \bmod 4)$ .

It seems reasonable to conjecture that the desired sign pattern for these square root expressions is one that yields an EDS, or at least a simple recurrence. An example of the latter is

$$\sqrt{3b_{2n}b_{2n+2} - 2b_{2n+1}^2} = 1, 1, 1, 1, 7, 1, 391, 2729, 175111, \dots,$$

which cannot be an EDS. But there is an assignation of signs:

$$f_n = \frac{57f_{n-3}f_{n-2} - 8f_{n-4}f_{n-1}}{f_{n-5}} = -1, -1, 1, 1, -7, -1, 391, -2729, -175111, 8888873, 565353361, \dots,$$

*i.e.*, the same recurrence as  $g_n$ , above.

Unfortunately, the order of the recurrence is likely to exceed the order of the relation that engendered the square root, defeating the presumable purpose of maintaining smaller intervals of consecutive values.

However, these  $f$  and  $g$  sequences serve another purpose if we interlace them:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \frac{B_{n-4}B_{n-1} + B_{n-3}B_{n-2}}{B_{n-5}} = -B_{-n} \\ &= -1, 0, 1, 1, 1, -1, -7, -8, -1, 57, 391, 455, -2729, -22352, -175111, -47767, 8888873, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which is not quite an EDS. Yet we have

$$b_k = \frac{B_{2n+1}b_{k-1}b_{k-2n-2} - B_{2n+2}b_{k-2}b_{k-2n-1}}{B_{2n}b_{k-2n-3}},$$

generalizing the Somos5 recurrence.

Note that  $B_n$  obeys the Somos5 recurrence, yet somehow jumps from 1 to 7, via the well known identity  $-7 = 0/0$ . (Of course, in the limit of absurdity, any sequence which is alternately 0 satisfies Somos5 and Somos7.)

The  $k$ -tuple speedup formula is

$$B_k B_{2k} b_n b_{n+5k} = B_{2k} B_{3k} b_{n+k} b_{n+4k} - B_k B_{4k} b_{n+2k} b_{n+3k}.$$

A three-variable generalization of these last two relations is

$$b_n = \frac{B_{2j} B_{2k+j} b_{n-j} b_{n-2k-2j} - B_j B_{2k+2j} b_{n-2j} b_{n-2k-j}}{B_j B_{2k} b_{n-2k-3j}}.$$

This is the same relation as with  $a$  and  $A$ , except that  $k$  must be even. The further generalization  $k \leftarrow k/2$  involves an additional term that appears guessable, and seems to vanish for even  $j$ .

Thus, if  $b_n$  is the Somos5 cosh, then  $B_n$  is the sinh, although a glitch is that  $b_n$  is centered at  $n = 2$  rather than  $n = 0$ . As with  $a$  and  $A$ , we can merely substitute  $B$  for  $b$  in the last identity:

$$B_j B_{2k} B_n B_{n-2k-3j} = B_{2j} B_{2k+j} B_{n-j} B_{n-2k-2j} - B_j B_{2k+2j} B_{n-2j} B_{n-2k-j}.$$

This all suggests that  $A$  and  $B$  will have cheaper addition algorithms than  $a$  and  $b$  (Somos4 and 5). But caution: even though  $B_n$  isn't quite an EDS, its values mod 19 lie in  $\{0, 1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 18\}$ . There may be other moduli with even (proportionately) sparser residue classes.

As with  $A_4$ , we can replace the  $B_5 = -7$  ( $= 0/0$ ) term by  $x$  to get a sequence of polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= -1, 0, 1, 1, 1, -1, x, x-1, -1, x^2 - x + 1, -x^3 + x^2 - 1, -x(x^2 - 2x + 2), -x^4 + x^3 - 2x + 1, \\ &\quad (x-1)(x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 1), -x^6 + 3x^5 - 3x^4 + 3x^2 - 2x + 1, 2x^5 - 5x^4 + 6x^3 - 2x^2 - x + 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

These do not form an EDS, even with reassignment of signs, except when  $x = -2$ . But they retain “strong” divisibility,

$$(s_k(x), s_n(x)) = |s_{(k,n)}(x)|,$$

for all  $x$ .

What *does* (apparently) form a (weak) EDS is the (algebraic) sequence

$$t_n := s_n(-x-1)^{\frac{(-1)^n + n^2}{8}},$$

which could be made (peculiar) polynomials with  $x = -1 - y^8$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dots, -1, 0, 1, y^5, y^8, -y^{17}, -y^{24}(y^8+1), -y^{37}(y^8+2), -y^{48}, y^{65}(y^{16}+3y^8+3), \\ y^{80}(y^{24}+4y^{16}+5y^8+1), y^{101}(y^8+1)(y^{16}+4y^8+5), \\ -y^{120}(y^{32}+5y^{24}+9y^{16}+5y^8-1), \dots \end{aligned}$$

This EDS satisfies both the Somos5oid

$$t_n = \frac{t_{n-3}t_{n-2}(-x-1)^{3/2} + t_{n-4}t_{n-1}(-x-1)}{t_{n-5}},$$

and the Somos4oid

$$t_n = \frac{t_{n-3}t_{n-1}(-x-1)^{5/4} - t_{n-2}^2(-x-1)}{t_{n-4}}.$$

Eliminating  $t_{n-5}$  and  $t_{n-4}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{n-2}^3t_n + t_{n-3}t_{n-1}^3)(-x-1)^{5/4} + t_{n-3}t_{n-2}t_{n-1}t_n\sqrt{-x-1} + t_{n-3}^2t_n^2 \\ = t_{n-3}t_{n-2}t_{n-1}t_n(-x-1)^{3/2} + t_{n-2}^2t_{n-1}^2(-x-1). \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $t_n$  yields a radical whose sign seems to depend on  $x$ .

In the special case  $x^3 + 5x^2 - 10x + 11 = 0$ , this EDS has the elementary formula

$$t_n = \left( \frac{41 - 35x - x^2}{23} \right)^{\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{8}} U_{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[8]{\frac{11x^2 + 17x - 244}{23}} \right).$$

This is basis for the Chebychev expansion for the “sinh” analog of Somos5.

As in the Somos4 analog, the  $s_{\text{prime}}$  polynomials are irreducible, at least through  $s_{67}$ .

The polynomial degrees go

$$0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 14, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 26, \dots,$$

being fourteen interlaced quadratic progressions:

$$\deg s_{14q+r} = (7q+r)q + [-2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6]_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 13.$$

The polynomials appear to be monic, except for  $s_{7n}$ , whose leading coefficients appear to be  $\pm n$ .

Happily, the three-variable relation seems to hold for general  $x$ :

$$s_j s_{2k} s_n s_{n-2k-3j} = s_{2j} s_{2k+j} s_{n-j} s_{n-2k-2j} - s_j s_{2k+2j} s_{n-2j} s_{n-2k-j}.$$

Better yet, putting  $u = -x, v = -y$  and  $s_n = -s_{-n}$  in Conjecture 4.5, we get the four (integer) variable, three term relation

$$s_{k-j+1} s_{k+j} s_{-n-i+1} s_{n-i} = s_{-j-i+1} s_{j-i} s_{-n+k+1} s_{n+k} + s_{k-i+1} s_{k+i} s_{-n-j+1} s_{n-j}.$$

Caution: This fails for noninteger  $i, k, j, n$  even though the subscripts are integral.

In his email to sci.math, Elkies makes the remarkable observation (modulo typos) that  $t_n := (2/3)^{(n \bmod 2)/4} b_n$  satisfies the reduced order (quasiSomos4) recurrence

$$t_{n-2} t_{n+2} = \sqrt{6} t_{n-1} t_{n+1} - t_n^2 \quad (\text{Elkies}).$$

It is probable that  $t_n$  also satisfies a third order relation (of higher degree).

As with the Somos4 polynomials, it appears that  $s_n(\alpha)$  falls into  $k$  interlaced elementary progressions when  $s_k(\alpha) = 0$ , but they are more complicated. Alternatively, they can be written as  $mk$  simpler progressions, for some multiple  $m$ . *E.g.*,  $s_8(e^{i\pi/3}) = 0$  and  $s_n(e^{i\pi/3})$  is merely periodic, but the period is forty-eight!

Also like the Somos4 polynomials, we can get a  $\vartheta_1$  expression via the change of variables:

$$t_n(x) = \frac{\tan\left(\arctan \sqrt[8]{-x-1} + \frac{\pi n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt[8]{-x-1}} s_n(x),$$

where  $t_n(x)$  satisfies

$$t_{n-4} t_n = t_{n-3} t_{n-1} \sqrt{-x-1} - t_{n-2}^2.$$

It shouldn't be hard to find a Chebychev formula for some algebraic  $x$ , and hence elementary expansions for the  $\vartheta$  parameters, as we did for Somos4.

**Expression as  $\vartheta$ :** Elkies' email gives

$$b_n = (3/2)^{(n \bmod 2)/4} b u^{(n-2)^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{k^2} z^{k(n-2)},$$

with  $q = 0.02208942811097933557356088 \dots$ ,  $z = 0.1141942041600238048921321 \dots$ ,  $b = 0.9576898995913810138013844 \dots$ , and  $u = 0.7889128685374661530379575 \dots$ . These constants do not appear to be in Plouffe's collection.

Similarly,

$$s_n = B_n \tan\left(\frac{\pi n}{2} + \arctan \sqrt[8]{6}\right)$$

also satisfies the (Elkies) recurrence, giving

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= b \cot\left(\frac{\pi n}{2} + \arctan \sqrt[8]{6}\right) u^{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(2k+1)^2} \sin((2k+1)n y) \\ &= \frac{b}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\pi n}{2} + \arctan \sqrt[8]{6}\right) u^{n^2} \vartheta_1(n y, q^4), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b = -1.82905778669392, \quad u = -1.07425451486466, \quad y = 0.89396990235568, \quad q = -0.52353014451686.$$

Numerically testing this equation for  $-1 \leq n \leq 22$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &= -0.9999999999986d0, \quad 0 = 0.0d0, \quad 1 = 0.9999999999986d0, \quad 1 = 0.9999999999986d0, \\ 1 &= 0.9999999999984d0, \quad -1 = -0.9999999999984d0, \quad -7 = -6.9999999999914d0, \quad -8 = -7.99999999999886d0, \\ -1 &= -0.9999999999982d0, \quad 57 = 56.9999999999918d0, \quad 391 = 390.9999999999952d0, \quad 455 = 454.9999999999928d0, \\ -2729 &= -2728.999999999958d0, \quad -22352 = -22351.99999999972d0, \quad -175111 = -175110.999999977d0, \\ -47767 &= -47766.9999999918d0, \quad 888873 = 888872.99999885d0, \quad 69739671 = 6.9739670999992d + 7, \\ 565353361 &= 5.65353360999916d + 8, \quad -3385862936 = -3.3858629359995d + 9, \\ -195345149609 &= -1.9534514960898d + 11, \quad -1747973613295 = -1.74797361329478d + 12, \\ -4686154246801 &= -4.6861542468004d + 12, \quad 632038062613231 = 6.32038062613152d + 14. \end{aligned}$$

In email to sci.math, Randall Rathbun and Ralph Buchholz make the remarkable claim that the Heron triangles with two rational medians have side lengths

$$\begin{aligned} &[B_{i+3} (B_i^2 b_{i+3}^2 b_{i+4}^4 + B_{i+1}^2 b_{i+2}^2 B_{i+2}^4) b_{i+5}, \\ &B_{i+2} b_{i+4} (B_i^2 b_{i+2}^2 B_{i+3}^2 b_{i+5}^2 + B_{i+1}^2 B_{i+2}^2 b_{i+3}^2 b_{i+4}^2), \\ &B_{i+1} b_{i+3} (B_i^2 4^{i+1 \bmod 2} B_{i+2}^4 b_{i+5}^2 + 4^{i \bmod 2} b_{i+2}^2 B_{i+3}^2 b_{i+4}^4)] . \end{aligned}$$

**Somos6:**

$$\begin{aligned} D_c \begin{pmatrix} n-3, & 0, & 1, & 2 \\ 0, & 1, & 2, & 3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} c_{n-3}^2 & c_{n-4} c_{n-2} & c_{n-5} c_{n-1} & c_{n-6} c_n \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -4 c_{n-6} c_n + 4 c_{n-5} c_{n-1} + 4 c_{n-4} c_{n-2} + 4 c_{n-3}^2, \end{aligned}$$

(four times) the defining recurrence for Somos6. But

$$D_c \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 2, & 4, & 6 \\ 0, & 1, & 3, & 4 \end{pmatrix} = 80 = D_c \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{3}, & \frac{3}{2}, & \frac{13}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{2}{3}, & \frac{7}{2}, & \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

so 4 by 4 isn't enough. Building on the nonsingular matrix,

$$D_c \begin{pmatrix} x, & 0, & 2, & 4, & 6 \\ y, & 0, & 1, & 3, & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} c_{x-y} c_{y+x} & c_x^2 & c_{x-1} c_{x+1} & c_{x-3} c_{x+3} & c_{x-4} c_{x+4} \\ c_{-y} c_y & 1 & 3 & 9 & 23 \\ c_{2-y} c_{y+2} & 1 & 1 & 3 & 15 \\ c_{4-y} c_{y+4} & 1 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\ c_{6-y} c_{y+6} & 9 & 5 & 23 & 75 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 80 c_{x-y} c_{y+x} + (4 c_{x-4} c_{x+4} + 12 c_{x-3} c_{x+3} - 52 c_{x-1} c_{x+1} - 44 c_x^2) c_{y-1} c_{y+6} \\ &\quad + (-16 c_{x-4} c_{x+4} - 88 c_{x-3} c_{x+3} + 328 c_{x-1} c_{x+1} + 176 c_x^2) c_{y+1} c_{y+4} \\ &\quad + (-28 c_{x-4} c_{x+4} - 44 c_{x-3} c_{x+3} + 284 c_{x-1} c_{x+1} + 188 c_x^2) c_{y+3} c_{y+2} \\ &\quad + (8 c_{x-4} c_{x+4} + 24 c_{x-3} c_{x+3} - 144 c_{x-1} c_{x+1} - 48 c_x^2) c_{y+5} c_y, \end{aligned}$$



which empirically vanishes, providing a fairly messy addition formula. Using the defining recurrence to algebraically eliminate  $c_{y+6}, c_{x-4}$ , etc., yields even messier relations, but with lower order (= width). The determinant approach can't do much better unless we can find a "narrower" non-singular 4 by 4. But this is unlikely, since replacing the sixteen numerical coefficients by undetermined ones indicates that the  $c_{y-1}c_{y+6}$  term is indispensable, and the  $c_{x-4}c_{x+4}$  term is not replaceable by  $c_{x-2}c_{x+2}$ . Slightly better may be

$$\begin{aligned} 80 c_{x-y+1} c_{y+x} = & (-4 c_{x-3} c_{x+4} - 8 c_{x-2} c_{x+3} + 16 c_{x-1} c_{x+2} + 28 c_x c_{x+1}) c_{y-2} c_{y+6} \\ & + (32 c_{x-3} c_{x+4} + 24 c_{x-2} c_{x+3} - 88 c_{x-1} c_{x+2} - 144 c_x c_{x+1}) c_{y-1} c_{y+5} \\ & + (-44 c_{x-3} c_{x+4} - 48 c_{x-2} c_{x+3} + 176 c_{x-1} c_{x+2} + 188 c_x c_{x+1}) c_y c_{y+4} \\ & + (8 c_{x-3} c_{x+4} + 96 c_{x-2} c_{x+3} - 152 c_{x-1} c_{x+2} - 96 c_x c_{x+1}) c_{y+1} c_{y+3} \end{aligned}$$

from

$$D_c \begin{pmatrix} x + \frac{1}{2}, & -\frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{3}{2}, & \frac{13}{2} \\ y - \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{3}{2}, & \frac{7}{2}, & \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Conjecture: there is no sequence of bivariate polynomials obeying Somos6. Evidence: Initializing with

$$s_0, s_1, \dots = 0, 1, x^3 y, -x^6 y^2, x^5 y^2, -x^8 y^3 (x^4 y + 1), -x^{15} y^5, \dots,$$

gives polynomials through  $s_{29}$ , but then  $s_{30}$  has a denominator of  $x$ . Replacing  $y \leftarrow yx$  will probably move the violation a few terms to the right.

However, if we initialize with

$$s_0, s_1, \dots = 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, -2, x, \dots,$$

then we appear to get polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} s_7, s_8, \dots = & x - 1, 2x + 3, x^2 + 5, x^2 + x - 9, -(x^3 + 2x^2 + 4x + 2), x^2 + 13x - 13, \\ & -(x^4 + 2x^3 + 7x^2 + 7x + 32), x^4 - x^3 + 10x^2 - 41x - 13, x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 7x^2 + 32x + 70, \dots \end{aligned}$$

which is decidedly not a divisibility sequence. In fact, the only reducible  $s_n$  through  $s_{69}$  is

$$s_{16} = -(x + 1) (3x^4 - x^3 + 21x^2 - 52x + 117) \quad !$$

And, unlike Somos4 and 5,  $s_k(\alpha) = 0 \not\Rightarrow s_{mk}(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Somos7:** Random clue:

$$d_{k-11} d_k + d_{k-10} d_{k-1} + d_{k-7} d_{k-4} = 61 d_{k-6} d_{k-5}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_d \left( n - \frac{7}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{5}{2} \right) &= \begin{vmatrix} d_{n-4} d_{n-3} & d_{n-5} d_{n-2} & d_{n-6} d_{n-1} & d_{n-7} d_n \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -4 (d_{n-7} d_n - d_{n-6} d_{n-1} - d_{n-5} d_{n-2} - d_{n-4} d_{n-3}), \end{aligned}$$

the defining recurrence. But

$$D_d \left( -\frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2}, \quad \frac{9}{2} \right) = 160,$$

so

$$D_d \left( \begin{matrix} x + \frac{1}{2}, & -\frac{3}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{7}{2}, & \frac{9}{2} \\ y - \frac{1}{2}, & -\frac{3}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{5}{2}, & \frac{9}{2} \end{matrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} d_{-y+x+1} d_{y+x} & d_{x-1} d_{x+2} & d_x d_{x+1} & d_{x-2} d_{x+3} & d_{x-4} d_{x+5} \\ d_{-y-1} d_{y-2} & 9 & 15 & 17 & 137 \\ d_{1-y} d_y & 3 & 1 & 5 & 17 \\ d_{4-y} d_{y+3} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 15 \\ d_{5-y} d_{y+4} & 1 & 1 & 3 & 9 \end{vmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 160 d_{x-y+1} d_{y+x} + (4 d_{x-4} d_{x+5} + 12 d_{x-2} d_{x+3} - 28 d_{x-1} d_{x+2} - 44 d_x d_{x+1}) d_{y-2} d_{y+7} \\ & + (12 d_{x-4} d_{x+5} + 36 d_{x-2} d_{x+3} - 164 d_{x-1} d_{x+2} - 52 d_x d_{x+1}) d_y d_{y+5} \\ & + (-28 d_{x-4} d_{x+5} - 164 d_{x-2} d_{x+3} + 356 d_{x-1} d_{x+2} + 228 d_x d_{x+1}) d_{y+1} d_{y+4} \\ & + (-44 d_{x-4} d_{x+5} - 52 d_{x-2} d_{x+3} + 228 d_{x-1} d_{x+2} + 324 d_x d_{x+1}) d_{y+2} d_{y+3} \end{aligned}$$

appears to vanish.

Messy doubling formulæ, in case I don't find anything better in the next few days:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k-1} = & -(d_{k-5} d_{k-2} d_{k+4} d_{k+7} + 3 d_{k-3} d_{k-2} d_{k+2} d_{k+7} - 7 d_{k-2}^2 d_{k+1} d_{k+7} \\ & - 11 d_{k-2} d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+7} + 3 d_{k-5} d_k d_{k+4} d_{k+5} + 9 d_{k-3} d_k d_{k+2} d_{k+5} - 41 d_{k-2} d_k d_{k+1} d_{k+5} - 13 d_{k-1} d_k^2 d_{k+5} \\ & - 7 d_{k-5} d_{k+1} d_{k+4}^2 - 11 d_{k-5} d_{k+2} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} - 41 d_{k-3} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} d_{k+4} + 89 d_{k-2} d_{k+1}^2 d_{k+4} + 57 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+1} d_{k+4} \\ & - 13 d_{k-3} d_{k+2}^2 d_{k+3} + 57 d_{k-2} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} d_{k+3} + 81 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+2} d_{k+3})/40 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k} = & (d_{k-6} d_{k-1} d_{k+5} d_{k+8} - 3 d_{k-4} d_{k-1} d_{k+3} d_{k+8} + 11 d_{k-2} d_{k-1} d_{k+1} d_{k+8} \\ & - 47 d_{k-1}^2 d_k d_{k+8} + 3 d_{k-6} d_{k+1} d_{k+5} d_{k+6} - 9 d_{k-4} d_{k+1} d_{k+3} d_{k+6} + 53 d_{k-2} d_{k+1}^2 d_{k+6} \\ & - 161 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+1} d_{k+6} - 7 d_{k-6} d_{k+2} d_{k+5}^2 - 11 d_{k-6} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} d_{k+5} + 41 d_{k-4} d_{k+2} d_{k+3} d_{k+5} \\ & - 137 d_{k-2} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} d_{k+5} + 329 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+2} d_{k+5} + 13 d_{k-4} d_{k+3}^2 d_{k+4} - 81 d_{k-2} d_{k+1} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} \\ & + 577 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+3} d_{k+4})/120 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k} = & (d_{k-6} d_{k-1} d_{k+5} d_{k+8} - 3 d_{k-3} d_{k-1} d_{k+2} d_{k+8} + 8 d_{k-2} d_{k-1} d_{k+1} d_{k+8} \\ & - 50 d_{k-1}^2 d_k d_{k+8} + 3 d_{k-6} d_{k+1} d_{k+5} d_{k+6} - 9 d_{k-3} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} d_{k+6} + 44 d_{k-2} d_{k+1}^2 d_{k+6} \\ & - 170 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+1} d_{k+6} - 7 d_{k-6} d_{k+2} d_{k+5}^2 - 11 d_{k-6} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} d_{k+5} + 41 d_{k-3} d_{k+2}^2 d_{k+5} \\ & - 96 d_{k-2} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} d_{k+5} + 370 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+2} d_{k+5} + 13 d_{k-3} d_{k+2} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} - 68 d_{k-2} d_{k+1} d_{k+3} d_{k+4} \\ & + 590 d_{k-1} d_k d_{k+3} d_{k+4})/120 \end{aligned}$$

As an existence proof, here is a 6<sup>th</sup> order relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & ((d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-5} d_{k-3} + d_{k-6} d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-4}) d_{k-1} + (d_{k-6} d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-3} + d_{k-5}^3 d_{k-4}) d_{k-2} + d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-3}^3 \\ & + 2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-4} d_{k-3}^2 + d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3}) d_k^2 + ((d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-5} d_{k-2} \\ & + d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-4} d_{k-3} + 2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-4}^2) d_{k-1}^2 + (2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-2}^2 + (2 d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-3}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -56 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-4} d_{k-3} + 2 d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-4}^2) d_{k-2} + 2 d_{k-6} d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3}^2 \\
& + 2 d_{k-5} d_{k-4}^3 d_{k-3}) d_{k-1} + d_{k-5}^3 d_{k-2}^3 + (2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-3}^2 + 3 d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-4} d_{k-3}) d_{k-2}^2 \\
& + (2 d_{k-6} d_{k-4} d_{k-3}^3 + 2 d_{k-5} d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3}^2) d_{k-2} d_k + (d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-4} d_{k-2} + d_{k-6} d_{k-4}^3) d_{k-1}^3 \\
& + ((d_{k-6}^2 d_{k-3} + 2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-4}) d_{k-2}^2 + (3 d_{k-6} d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3} + d_{k-5} d_{k-4}^3) d_{k-2} \\
& + d_{k-4}^4 d_{k-3}) d_{k-1}^2 + ((2 d_{k-6} d_{k-5} d_{k-3} + d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-4}) d_{k-2}^3 + (2 d_{k-6} d_{k-4} d_{k-3}^2 \\
& + 3 d_{k-5} d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3}) d_{k-2}^2 + 2 d_{k-4}^3 d_{k-3}^2 d_{k-2}) d_{k-1} + d_{k-5}^2 d_{k-3} d_{k-2}^4 \\
& + 2 d_{k-5} d_{k-4} d_{k-3}^2 d_{k-2}^3 + d_{k-4}^2 d_{k-3}^3 d_{k-2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It appears that

$$s_{-1}(x), s_0(x), \dots, = -1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, -2, x, x-1, 2x-3, x-4, x^2-4x+2, x^2-x-1, \dots,$$

gives a (non-divisibility) sequence of polynomials. The special case  $x = 1$  appears to give eight interlaced arithmetic(!) sequences:

$$s_{8q+r}(1) = (-)^q [0, 1, 2q+1, 1, 1, 1, -2q-2, 1]_{0 \leq r \leq 7}.$$

A curious initialization is

$$\dots, -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -\sqrt{2}, 2-\sqrt{2}, 3-2\sqrt{2}, 5-4\sqrt{2}, 10-8\sqrt{2}, 28-20\sqrt{2}, 107-76\sqrt{2}, 455-322\sqrt{2}, \dots,$$

where the first term with denominator  $> 1$  is

$$d_{34} = \frac{510156039514521981558192050 - 360734795003990787362927953\sqrt{2}}{2},$$

and the first term (after  $d_{-1} = -3/\sqrt{2}$ ) with magnitude  $> 2$  is

$$d_{39} \approx 2.3813134529.$$

## References:

Plouffe's Inverter: <http://www.lacim.uqam.ca/pi/indexf.html>

Sloane's Sequence Server: <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>

Theta Functions in Macsyma [5]

Zagier notes on Somos5 and elliptic curve:

<http://www-groups.dcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/~john/Zagier/Problems.html>  
and [4].

$\vartheta$ like double sum involving seven empirical constants for Somos6:

<http://grail.cba.csuohio.edu/~somos/somos6.html> .

Jim Propp's Somos sequence site: <http://www.math.wisc.edu/~propp/somos.html> .

General: Google search Somos sequence\* and Elliptic divisibility.

### 3 Modular Theta Functions

This section explores mod  $P$  analogs of some classical special functions. We're interested in the general problem of developing modular analogs for classical special functions of analysis. The modular versions of exponentiation and logarithms have been known for two centuries. These are easily generalized to modular trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, and thence to elliptic functions such as  $\text{sn}$ ,  $\text{cn}$ ,  $\text{dn}$ , and Weierstrass  $\wp$ . The modular Gudermannian function  $\text{gd}$  converts between  $\sin$  and  $\tanh$ . A modular version of the amplitude function  $\text{am}$  converts between  $\sin$  and  $\text{sn}$ . I've already mentioned modular polylogarithms. This note introduces modular theta functions.

#### 3.1 Elliptic Function Review

The classical elliptic functions arose from trying to determine the arc-length of an ellipse, by integrating expressions involving the square roots of cubic or quartic polynomials. Elliptic functions are analytic, complex valued functions, that take complex number arguments. They have two periods. One period is usually taken to be a real number, and the other is necessarily complex. Elliptic functions have poles as their only singularities (at finite locations). The two periods make a period parallelogram, covering the complex plane in a regular pattern. The function values repeat in each parallelogram. There are two popular ways of discussing elliptic functions, depending on whether the basic integral is the square root of a cubic or quartic polynomial. The two ways are equivalent, but the choices lead to differences in details of the formulas. The Jacobian elliptic functions ([2, 3], chapter 16) lead more naturally to theta functions. The basic Jacobian elliptic functions are  $\text{sn}(u, k)$ ,  $\text{cn}(u, k)$ , and  $\text{dn}(u, k)$ . Typically  $k$  is fixed in an application (it is related to the shape of the period parallelogram), and it is often elided to simplify formulas. These functions have two poles and two zeros in each copy of the period parallelogram. Some of the fundamental formulas are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sn}^2 u + \text{cn}^2 u &= 1 \\ k^2 \text{sn}^2 u + \text{dn}^2 u &= 1 \\ \text{sn}' u &= \text{cn } u \text{ dn } u \\ \text{sn}(u + v) &= \frac{\text{sn } u \text{ cn } v \text{ dn } v + \text{sn } v \text{ cn } u \text{ dn } u}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 u \text{sn}^2 v} \\ \text{sn } 2u &= \frac{2 \text{sn } u \text{ cn } u \text{ dn } u}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^4 u} \\ \text{sn } u &= \sin(\text{am } u) \end{aligned}$$

The  $\text{sn}$  and  $\text{cn}$  functions can be regarded as sine and cosine of a distorted input. The  $\text{am}$  function captures the distortion. Chapter 16 of Abramowitz & Stegun [2, 3] has much more, and the classic Whittaker & Watson [11] explains what's going on.

#### 3.2 Elliptic Functions vs Elliptic Curves

An elliptic curve can be parameterized by elliptic functions, just as a circle can be parameterized by circular (trigonometric) functions ( $\cos$  and  $\sin$ ) and a hyperbola by hyperbolic functions ( $\cosh$  and  $\sinh$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} Y^2 &= k^2 X^4 - (1 + k^2)X^2 + 1 \\ (X, Y) &= (\text{sn } u, \text{cn } u \text{ dn } u) \\ (\text{cn } u \text{ dn } u)^2 &= (1 - \text{sn}^2 u)(1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 u) \end{aligned}$$

The original applications of these ideas were for real and complex applications, but there has been a minor component of number theory ever since Diophantus (c. 200 AD) introduced problems that reduced to cubic curves. The theory of finding integer and rational points on elliptic curves has undergone a major development in the last century. The application of elliptic curves to cryptography depends on the fact that what works for the fields  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}$  can often be made to work for the fields mod  $p$  and the Galois Fields  $\text{GF}[p^k]$ .

### 3.3 What's a Theta Function?

Theta functions were probably first introduced by Euler. They arise from some infinite products related to the partition function. Theta functions have rapidly convergent series, and they are closely related to elliptic functions, which makes them useful in computing elliptic function values.

Like elliptic functions, theta functions are complex valued functions with one complex argument, and a second shape parameter. They only have one true period, but they have a second quasiperiod. The period is often taken to be 1 or  $2\pi$ , while the quasiperiod is a complex number. Together the two define a parallelogram, as with elliptic functions. Changing the argument by one period leaves the value of the theta function unchanged, while changing the argument by the quasiperiod multiplies the theta function by a fixed value. In one sense, theta functions are easier than elliptic functions, since they have only one pole and one zero per parallelogram. There are 4 basic theta functions, with the pole located either in the corner of the parallelogram, in the middle of a side, or in the center. Elliptic functions are ratios of theta functions, with the same parallelogram.

### 3.4 Some Basic Properties of Theta Functions

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_4(z, q) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} e^{2inz} \\ \vartheta_4(z + \pi) &= \vartheta_4(z) \\ \vartheta_4(z + \tau) &= e^{2i\tau} \vartheta_4(z) \\ \vartheta_{1,2,3} &= \vartheta_4 + \text{half periods } \pi/2, \tau/2, (\pi + \tau)/2 \\ \vartheta_4(z, q) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{2n-1} \cos 2z + q^{4n-2}) \\ \text{sn} &\sim \frac{\vartheta_1}{\vartheta_4}, \text{ cn} \sim \frac{\vartheta_2}{\vartheta_4}, \text{ dn} \sim \frac{\vartheta_3}{\vartheta_4}\end{aligned}$$

Similar products exist for  $\vartheta_{1,2,3}$ . The partition generating function is  $1/\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ . Gosper has developed a package for manipulating theta functions in the symbolic algebra program Macsyma [5].

### 3.5 Theta Function Identities

As with the elliptic functions, there is a multitude, nay, a plethora, of theta function identities. A small sampling is presented below.  $\vartheta_i(0)$  is abbreviated to  $\vartheta_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_2^4 + \vartheta_4^4 &= \vartheta_3^4 \\ \vartheta_1^2(z) \vartheta_2^2 &= \vartheta_3^2 \vartheta_4(z) - \vartheta_4^2 \vartheta_3(z) \\ \vartheta_1^4(z) + \vartheta_3^4(z) &= \vartheta_2^4(z) + \vartheta_4^4(z) \\ \vartheta_1(y+z) \vartheta_1(y-z) \vartheta_4^2 &= \vartheta_1^2(y) \vartheta_4^2(z) - \vartheta_4^2(y) \vartheta_1^2(z) \\ \vartheta_4(2y) \vartheta_4^3 &= \vartheta_4^4(y) - \vartheta_1^4(y) \\ \vartheta_1(2y) \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_4 &= 2 \vartheta_1(y) \vartheta_2(y) \vartheta_3(y) \vartheta_4(y)\end{aligned}$$

The equation  $\vartheta_2^4 + \vartheta_4^4 = \vartheta_3^4$  has no non-trivial rational solution. (Clearing denominators would lead to a solution of Fermat's equation for exponent 4.) This is in contrast to the situation with elliptic curves, where modular solutions of the elliptic curve equation ("points on the curve") can be turned into rational solutions of an equivalent elliptic curve.

The restriction against rational solutions can be circumvented by switching to another field where the equation has solutions. Two obvious choices are an algebraic number field, or to work modulo a prime number. I decided to experiment with the modulus  $P = 43$ . A  $4k + 3$  prime was selected so that more fourth powers exist and so that the number  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  has independent meaning. I selected trial values mod 43 for  $\vartheta_{1-4}$  and  $\vartheta_{1-4}(1)$ . The values were chosen to be compatible with the equations above. Having selected these 8 numbers, we use theta function identities to compute a table of  $\vartheta_{1-4}$  evaluated at 2, 3, etc.

Theta Functions Mod 43

N	$\vartheta_1$	$\vartheta_2$	$\vartheta_3$	$\vartheta_4$
0	0	2	14	1
1	1	11	7	2
2	11	24	33	15
3	1	1	24	24
4	34	12	32	36
5	17	25	7	3
6	35	0	39	30
7	38	20	3	32
8	8	25	5	11
9	16	27	40	40
10	14	32	42	23
11	24	37	39	5
12	0	4	15	41
13	7	34	37	29
ratio 13/1	7	7	-7	-7

Note that row 12 has a relationship to row 0, with  $\vartheta_1$  and  $\vartheta_2$  being doubled, while  $\vartheta_3$  and  $\vartheta_4$  are multiplied by -2. Rows 13 and 1 are similarly related, with ratios  $\pm 7$ . We find a ratio relationship with consistent signs, between rows 24 and 0, 25 and 1, etc.:

Row 24 = 16 \* row 0; row 25 = 24 \* row 1; row 26 = 36 \* row 2.

With a large enough separation between rows, a constant ratio will develop, and after some larger separation, a true period will appear.

An equation similar to the Somos recurrence works:

$$\vartheta_1^2(n) = 8\vartheta_1(n+1)\vartheta_1(n-1) + \vartheta_1(n+2)\vartheta_1(n-2).$$

We explore the ratio relationship between theta functions and elliptic curves:

$$\text{sn}(n) = \frac{\vartheta_3}{\vartheta_2} * \frac{\vartheta_1(n)}{\vartheta_4(n)}$$

$n$	$\text{sn}(n)$	
0-11	0,25,8,20,9,11,1,11,9,20,8,25,	this row is symmetric
12-23	0,18,35,23,...	this row is the negative of the row above

Cn and dn work as well. Checking out the elliptic curve that is parameterized by these modular elliptic functions,

$$X = \text{sn}(n); \quad Y = \text{cn}(n)\text{dn}(n)$$

$$Y^2 = 1 - 7X^2 + 6X^4 = (1 - X^2)(1 - 6X^2) \quad ; \text{elliptic curve equation}$$

Cn and sn are relabeled sine and cosine: The set  $\{\text{cn}(n) + i\text{sn}(n)\}$  is the powers of  $(13 - 2i) \bmod 43$ , but in an apparently random order. Note that  $13 - 2i$  is on the mod 43 unit circle, since  $\|13 - 2i\| = 13^2 + 2^2 = 1 \bmod 43$ . The random ordering is captured by am, which in the real world is the distortion function:

$$\text{sn}(n) = \sin(\text{am}(n))$$

### 3.6 Some Possible Uses of Modular Theta Functions

Modular theta functions may be directly useful in Diffie Hellman key exchange. They could be used to compute elliptic curve values. And they provide another example of a modular analog for a classical special function.

## 4 Conclusion

There's a lot more to learn here.

## References

- [1] Submitted.
- [2] Abramowitz and Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, 1964.
- [3] Abramowitz and Stegun. Handbook of mathematical functions.  
<http://www.lacim.uqam.ca/~plouffe/articles/Abromowitz&Stegun.pdf>, 1964.
- [4] Noam Elkies. 1,2,3,5,11,37,...: Non-recursive solution found.  
<http://www.math.wisc.edu/~propp/somos/elliptic>, and sci.math.research, 1995.
- [5] R. Wm. Gosper. Theta functions in macsyma. <http://www.tweedledum.com/rwg/thet.gif>, 2003.
- [6] Richard Schroepel and Cheryl Beaver. Modular dilogarithms.  
<http://www.cs.arizona.edu/~rcs/dilog-paper-020402>, 2002.
- [7] N. Sloane. Online encyclopedia of integer sequences.  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006720> (Somos4),  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006721> (Somos5),  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006722> (Somos6),  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006723> (Somos7).
- [8] N. Sloane. Online encyclopedia of integer sequences.  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006769>.
- [9] Morgan Ward. The law of repetition of primes in an elliptic divisibility sequence. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 15(4), December 1948.
- [10] Morgan Ward. Memoir on elliptic divisibility sequences. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 70:31–74, 1948.
- [11] Whittaker and Watson. *Modern Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1902.
- [12] Don Zagier. Problems posed at the st andrews colloquium.  
<http://www.groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~john/Zagier/Problems.html>, 1996.