

# Enumeration of Balanced Symmetric Functions over $GF(p)$

Shaojing Fu<sup>1</sup>, Chao Li<sup>1</sup> and Longjiang Qu<sup>1</sup> Ping Li<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics and System Science, Science College of National  
University of Defence Technology, Changsha, China, 410073  
shaojing1984@yahoo.cn

**Abstract.** It is proved that the construction and enumeration of the number of balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$  are equivalent to solving an equation system and enumerating the solutions. Furthermore, we give an lower bound on number of balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ , and the lower bound provides best known results.

**Key words:** symmetric functions, balanced functions, Permutation.

## 1 Introduction

Since symmetry guarantees that all of the input bits have equal status in a very strong sense, symmetric Boolean functions display some interesting properties. A lot of research about symmetry in characteristic 2 has been previously done. Y.X.Yang and B.Guo [1] studied the balanced symmetric functions and correlation immune symmetric functions. S.Maitra and P.Sarkar [2] studied the maximum nonlinearity of symmetric Boolean function on odd number of variables. A.Canteaut and M.Videau [3] established the link between the periodicity of simplified value vector of an symmetric Boolean functions and its degree. A.Braeken, B.Preneel [4] studied Algebraic immunity of Symmetric Boolean function.

On the other hand, it is natural to extend various cryptographic ideas from  $GF(2)$  to other finite fields of characteristic  $p > 2$ ,  $GF(p)$  or  $GF(p^n)$ ,  $p$  being a prime number. For example, [5] and [6] studied the correlation immune and resilient functions on  $GF(p)$ . Also, [7] and [8] investigated the generalized bent functions on  $GF(p^2)$ . Li and Cusick [9] first introduced the strict avalanche criterion over  $GF(p)$ . In [10], they generalized most results of [11] and determined all the linear structures of symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ . Recently, Cusick and Li [12] give a lower bound for the number of balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ , and they show the existence of nonlinear balanced symmetric functions. In [13], Pinhui Ke improved the lower bound, then he showed that the number of  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$  is not less than the number of solutions of an given equation systems over  $\mathbb{Z}^*$ .

In this paper, we prove that enumeration of the number of balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$  is equivalent to solve the equation system in [13] and we give formulas to count balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ . Then based on our formulas and Cusick's method, the lower bound on the number

of  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric functions over finite fields  $GF(p)$  presented in [13] is improved.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of positive integers,  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  is the set of positive integers, and  $p$  is a odd prime number. Let  $GF(p)$  be the finite field of  $p$  elements, and  $GF(p)^n$  be the vector space of dimension  $n$  over  $GF(p)$ . An  $n$ -variable function  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  can be seen as a multivariate polynomial over  $GF(p)$ , that is,

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n=0}^n a_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$$

where the coefficients  $a_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}$  are a constant in  $GF(p)$ . This representation of  $f$  is called the algebraic normal form (ANF) of  $f$ . The number  $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n$  is defined as the degree of term with nonzero coefficient. The greatest degree of all the terms of  $f$  is called the Algebraic degree of  $f$ , denoted by  $\deg(f)$ .

The function  $f(x)$  is called an affine function if  $f(x) = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n + a_0$ . If  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $f(x)$  is also called a linear function. We will denote by  $F_n$  the set of all functions of  $n$  variables and by  $L_n$  the set of affine ones. We will call a function nonlinear if it is not in  $L_n$ .

**Definition 1.**  $f: GF(p)^n \rightarrow GF(p)$  is balanced if  $\#\{x \in GF(p)^n | f(x) = k\} = p^{n-1}$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ .

**Definition 2.**  $f: GF(p)^n \rightarrow GF(p)$  is a symmetric if for any permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ .

Denote the set of permutations on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by  $S_n$ . Then we define the following equivalence relation on  $GF(p)^n$ : for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in GF(p)^n$ . we say  $x$  and  $y$  are equivalent, if there exists a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  such that  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ . (by abuse of notation we write  $y = \pi(x)$ ). Let  $\tilde{x} = \{y | \exists \pi \in S_n, \pi(x) = y\}$ , Let  $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$  be the representative of  $\tilde{x}$ , where  $0 \leq \bar{x}_1 \leq \bar{x}_2 \leq \cdots \leq \bar{x}_n \leq p-1$ . Obviously, we have  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y} \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}$ . It is easy to show that  $f: GF(p)^n \rightarrow GF(p)$  is symmetric if  $f(x) = f(y)$  whenever  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $\bar{x} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i_0}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{i_{p-1}})$  be representative of the classes  $\tilde{x}$ , then the vector  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1})$  is called the corresponding vector of  $\tilde{x}$ .

Let the corresponding vector of  $\tilde{x}$  be  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1})$ , then  $\#\tilde{x} = \frac{n!}{i_0! i_1! \cdots i_{p-1}!}$ . The number of different equivalence classes  $\tilde{x}$  is the number of solutions of the linear equation  $i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_{p-1} = n$ , where  $i_k$  is the number of times  $k$  appears in  $\bar{x}$ . We know that the number of solutions to this linear diophantine equation is the same as the number of  $n$ -combinations of a set with  $p$  elements, that is  $C(n+p-1, n)$ .

### 3 Enumeration of Balanced Symmetric Functions

From the definition of symmetric function, we know that symmetric function has the same value for any  $n$ -tuple in the same equivalence classes. So in order to get symmetric function, we should partition the vectors in the  $C(n+p-1, n)$  equivalence classes into  $p$  groups, and the vectors in the same equivalence class must be in the same group. We start with the definition of similar equivalence class.

**Definition 4.**  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  are called the similar equivalence class, if there exists  $\pi \in S_n$ , such that  $\tilde{x}$ 's corresponding vector  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1})$  and  $\tilde{y}$ 's corresponding vector  $(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{p-1})$  satisfies  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}) = (j_{\pi(0)}, j_{\pi(1)}, \dots, j_{\pi(p-1)})$ . The class-sets of  $\tilde{x}$  is the set constituted by all the similar equivalence class of  $\tilde{x}$ .

Let all the  $C(n+p-1, n)$  classes are divided into  $N$  class-sets  $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_N)$  by using the similar equivalence relation,  $M_i = \#\Omega_i$  and  $T_i = \#\{\tilde{x} | \forall \tilde{x} \in \Omega_i\}$  (Because any equivalence classes in a class-sets have same cardinality,  $T_i$  is denoted without misapprehending).

For a fixed solution  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega_i$ , let  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1})$  be the corresponding vector of  $\tilde{x}$ , and  $m_l$  be the number of times that  $l$  appears in  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\}$ . Then it is easy to show that  $M_i = \frac{p!}{m_0!m_1!\dots m_{n-1}!}$ ,  $T_i = \frac{n!}{i_0!i_1!\dots i_{p-1}!}$ .

Consider the equation system  $\Phi$ :

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^N x_{i,j} \cdot T_i = p^{n-1}, & 1 \leq j \leq p \\ \sum_{i=0}^p x_{i,j} = M_i, & 1 \leq i \leq N \\ x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, x_{i,j} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

In [13], Pinhui Ke presented the following result.

**Theorem 1.** [13] The number of  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$  is not less than the number of solutions of equation system  $\Phi$ .

From theorem 1, we know that Pinhui Ke only prove that the number of solutions of equation system  $\Phi$  is a lower bound. Now we will give a method to construct balanced symmetric functions by using the solutions of  $\Phi$ , and give formulas to count balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ .

First, let  $M_\Phi$  be the number of solutions of  $\Phi$ , and the solutions be  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{M_\Phi}$ , where

$$X_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1}^{(k)} & x_{1,2}^{(k)} & \dots & x_{1,p}^{(k)} \\ x_{1,1}^{(k)} & x_{1,2}^{(k)} & \dots & x_{1,p}^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N,1}^{(k)} & x_{N,2}^{(k)} & \dots & x_{N,p}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq k \leq M_\Phi$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $N_n$  be the number of  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ , then  $N_n = \sum_{k=1}^{M_\Phi} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{\prod_{j=1}^p x_{i,j}^{(k)!}$ .

*Proof.* To construct balanced symmetric functions, basically, we try to divide  $p^n$  vectors into  $p$  groups (on each group, the function has the same value), such that each group has  $p^{n-1}$  vectors. Of course, the vectors in the same equivalence class must be in the same group since the function is symmetric. For a fixed solution  $X_k$ , we construct  $p$  groups  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p$  as follow,

(1) Select  $x_{1,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_{2,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from  $\Omega_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{N,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from  $\Omega_N$ , regard the vectors in these equivalence classes as the vectors of  $A_1$ .

(2) Select  $x_{1,2}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_1 - x_{1,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes of  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_{2,2}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_2 - x_{2,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes of  $\Omega_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{N,2}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_N - x_{N,1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes of  $\Omega_N$ , regard the vectors in these equivalence classes as the vectors of  $A_2$ .

...

(t) Select  $x_{1,t}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} x_{1,j}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_{2,t}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} x_{2,j}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in  $\Omega_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{N,t}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_N - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} x_{N,j}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in  $\Omega_N$ , regard the vectors in these equivalence classes as the vectors of  $A_t$ .

...

(p-1) Select  $x_{1,p-1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x_{1,j}^{(k)}$  classes in  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_{2,p-1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x_{2,j}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in  $\Omega_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{N,p-1}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes from the rest  $M_N - \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} x_{N,j}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in  $\Omega_N$ , regard the vectors in these equivalence classes as the vectors of  $A_{p-1}$ .

(p) there are  $x_{1,p}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in the rest of  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_{2,p}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in the rest of  $\Omega_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{N,p}^{(k)}$  equivalence classes in the rest of  $\Omega_N$ , regard the vectors in these equivalence classes as the vectors of  $A_p$ .

Let  $f(x): \{x|f(x) = j - 1\} = A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p$ .

It is obvious that  $f(x)$  is a balanced symmetric function, and the number of balanced symmetric function constructed by the solution equals the ways to select  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p$ , that is  $\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{\prod_{j=1}^p x_{i,j}^{(k)!}}$ .

Given two solutions  $X_{k_1} \neq X_{k_2}$ , without lost of generality, Let  $x_{1,1}^{(k_1)} \neq x_{1,1}^{(k_2)}$ , the corresponding  $A_1$  constructed by  $X_{k_1}, X_{k_2}$  are different, so the balanced symmetric functions are different. Hence, the total number of symmetric functions constructed by the construction above is  $\sum_{k=1}^{M_\Phi} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{\prod_{j=1}^p x_{i,j}^{(k)!}}$ .

Now we show that any  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric functions can be constructed by the construction above. Given a balanced symmetric function  $f(x)$ , let  $A_j = \{x|f(x) = j - 1\}$  and  $A_j^* = \{\tilde{x}|x \in A_j\}$ , and  $x_{i,j} = \#\{A_j^* \cap \Omega_i\}$ , then It is easy to show that  $x_{i,j} (1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq p)$  is a solution of the equation system  $\Phi$ .

So we get the count.

*Example 1.* Let  $n = 3$ ,  $p = 5$ , we can get  $N = 3$ ,  $M_1 = 5$ ,  $M_2 = 20$ ,  $M_3 = 10$ , and  $M_\Phi = 281$ . Then the number of 3-variable balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(5)$  is  $1.24419789850356 \times 10^{20}$ .

When  $p$  and  $n$  become larger, it is hard to solve the equation system  $\Phi$ . In this case, we solve another easier equation, and we can obtain an improved lower bound of [13] by solving this equation when  $p$  is not a proper divisor of  $n$ .

Consider the equation with restricted conditions:

$$\Theta : \sum_{i=0}^N z_i T_i = 0, z_i \in \mathbb{Z}, |z_i| \leq \frac{M_i}{p}$$

Let  $\Psi = \{(y_1^{(1)}, y_2^{(1)}, \dots, y_N^{(1)}), (y_1^{(2)}, y_2^{(2)}, \dots, y_N^{(2)}), \dots, (y_1^{(W)}, y_2^{(W)}, \dots, y_N^{(W)})\}$  be the set of the solutions of  $\Theta$  whose most right nonzero component is positive. Then we can get the following lower bound on the number of  $n$ -variable balanced symmetric Functions.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $p$  is not a proper divisor of  $n$ , then  $N_n \geq \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{((\frac{M_i}{p})!)^p} +$*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} A(p, 2t) \sum_{1 \leq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t \leq W} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{((\frac{M_i}{p})!)^{p-2t} \prod_{j=1}^t (\frac{M_i}{p} - y_1^{(k_j)})! (\frac{M_i}{p} + y_1^{(k_j)})!}$$

where  $A(p, 2t) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ .

*Proof.* If  $p \nmid n$ , let  $m_l$  be the number of times that  $l$  appears in  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_n = p \\ 0 \times m_0 + 1 \times m_1 + \dots + n \times m_n = n \end{cases}$$

so  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $M_i = \frac{p!}{m_0! m_1! \dots m_n!}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Then It is easy to show that the matrix

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1}{p} & \frac{M_1}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_1}{p} \\ \frac{M_2}{p} & \frac{M_2}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_2}{p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{M_N}{p} & \frac{M_N}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_N}{p} \end{pmatrix}$$

a solution of  $\Phi$ .

For any  $k = 1, 2, \dots, W$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_1}{p} & \frac{M_1}{p} - y_1^{(k)} & \frac{M_1}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_1}{p} & \frac{M_1}{p} + y_1^{(k)} & \frac{M_1}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_1}{p} \\ \frac{M_2}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_2}{p} & \frac{M_2}{p} - y_2^{(k)} & \frac{M_2}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_2}{p} & \frac{M_2}{p} + y_2^{(k)} & \frac{M_2}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_2}{p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{M_N}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_N}{p} & \frac{M_N}{p} - y_N^{(k)} & \frac{M_N}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_N}{p} & \frac{M_N}{p} + y_N^{(k)} & \frac{M_N}{p} & \dots & \frac{M_N}{p} \end{pmatrix}$$

is also a solution of  $\Phi$ .

Select  $t$  vectors  $(y_1^{(k_1)}, y_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, y_N^{(k_1)}), (y_1^{(k_2)}, y_2^{(k_2)}, \dots, y_N^{(k_2)}), \dots, (y_1^{(k_t)}, y_2^{(k_t)}, \dots, y_N^{(k_t)})$  from  $\Psi$ , then add these  $t$  vectors to any  $t$  columns of the matrix  $\Delta$  respectively. At the same time, subtract  $(y_1^{(k_1)}, y_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, y_N^{(k_1)}), \dots, (y_1^{(k_t)}, y_2^{(k_t)}, \dots, y_N^{(k_t)})$  to another  $t$  columns of the matrix  $\Delta$ . By the selecting we can obtain  $A(p, 2) \times \#\Psi \times A(p-2, 2) \times \#\Psi$  new matrices, these matrices are also solutions of  $\Phi$ .

Now We distinguish  $\frac{p+1}{2}$  case.

(0) If we change 0 columns of the matrix  $\Delta$ , then we can construct  $\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{((\frac{M_i}{p})!)^p}$  balanced symmetric functions.

(1) If we change 2 columns of the matrix  $\Delta$ , then we can construct

$$\sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq W} p(p-1) \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{((\frac{M_i}{p})!)^{p-2t} (\frac{M_i}{p} - y_1^{(k_1)})! (\frac{M_i}{p} + y_1^{(k_1)})!}$$

balanced symmetric functions.

...

(t) If we change  $2t$  columns of the matrix  $\Delta$ , then we can construct

$$\sum_{1 \leq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t \leq W} A(p, 2t) \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{((\frac{M_i}{p})!)^{p-2t} \prod_{j=1}^t (\frac{M_i}{p} - y_1^{(k_j)})! (\frac{M_i}{p} + y_1^{(k_j)})!}$$

balanced symmetric functions.

...

( $\frac{p+1}{2}$ ) If we change  $p-1$  columns of the matrix  $\Delta$ , then we can construct

$$\sum_{1 \leq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq W} A(p, 2t) \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{M_i!}{(\frac{M_i}{p})! \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\frac{M_i}{p} - y_1^{(k_j)})! (\frac{M_i}{p} + y_1^{(k_j)})!}$$

balanced symmetric functions.

Hence we get the lower bound.

At the end of this section, for  $n = 3$  and  $p = 5$ , we compare the lower bound obtained by [12], [13], and Theorem 3 in the following table:

**Table 1.** the number of 3-variable balanced symmetric functions over  $\text{GF}(5)$

	[12]	[13]	Theorem 3
upper bound	$4.15779151788 \times 10^{18}$	$2.653066968552 \times 10^{19}$	$9.7809924135924 \times 10^{19}$

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain some counting results about balanced symmetric functions over finite field  $GF(p)$ , and we get a lower bound by finding solutions of an equation system. With an example, we show that this bound is better than the known results, but when  $p$  is a proper divisor of  $n$ , it is still an open problem to get a lower bound on the number of balanced symmetric functions. Besides, Finding more solutions to improve this lower bound may be another interesting problem for the future research.

## Acknowledgments

The work in this paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO:60573028).

## References

1. Y.X.Yang, B.Guo. Further enumerating Boolean functions of cryptographic significance. *J.Cryptology*, 8(3),pp.115-122, 1995
2. S.Maitra, P.Sarkar. Maximum nonlinearity of symmetric Boolean functions on odd number of variables. *IEEE Trans.on Infor.theory*, 48(9), pp.2626-2630, 2002.
3. A.Canteaut, M.Videau. Symmetric Boolean functions. *IEEE Trans.on Infor. theory*, 51(8), pp.2791-2811, 2005.
4. A.Braeken, B.Preneel. On the algebraic immunity of symmetric Boolean functions. in *INDOCRYPT2005,LNCS 3797*, Berlin, Gernay:Springer-Verlag , pp.35-48, 2005.
5. Mulan Liu, Peizhong Lu and G.L. Mullen. Correlation-Immune Functions over Finite Fields. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 44 (1998), 1273-1276.
6. Yupu Hu and Guozhen Xiao. Resilient Functions Over Finite Fields. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 49 (2003), 2040-2046.
7. Keqin Feng and Fengmei Liu. New Results On The Nonexistence of Generalized Bent Functions. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 49 (2003), 3066-3071.
8. P.V. Kumar, R.A. Scholtz, and L.R. Welch. Generalized Bent Functions and Their Properties. *J. Combinatorial Theory (A)* 40 (1985), 90-107.
9. Yuan Li and T.W. Cusick. Strict Avalanche Criterion over Finite Fields. *J. Math. Crypt.* 1(2007): 65-78.
10. Yuan Li and T.W. Cusick. Linear Structures of Symmetric Functions over Finite Fields. *Information Processing Letters* 97 (2006), 124-127.
11. Ed Dawson and Chuan-kun Wu. On the Linear Structure of Symmetric Boolean Functions. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 16 (1997), 239-243.
12. T.W. Cusick, Yuan Li and P. Stanica. Balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ . *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 54(3), pp. 1304-1307, 2008.
13. P.H.Ke. Improve lower bound on the number of balanced symmetric functions over  $GF(p)$ . <http://eprint.iarc.org/2008/165.pdf>.